



# International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies

E-ISSN: 2347-5129

P-ISSN: 2394-0506

(ICV-Poland) Impact Value: 76.37

(GIF) Impact Factor: 0.549

IJFAS 2023; 11(3): 55-57

© 2023 IJFAS

[www.fisheriesjournal.com](http://www.fisheriesjournal.com)

Received: 07-04-2023

Accepted: 19-05-2023

**Amna MF Mohamed**

Department of Science, Faculty  
of Education, Red Sea  
University, Bosaso, Somalia

**Zuheir N Mahmoud**

Department of Zoology, Faculty  
of Science, University of  
Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan

## Impact of storage on the chemical composition of wet salted *Mugil cephalus*, *Chonas chonas* and *Gerres oyena* (Pisces) from Port Sudan. Red Sea coast

**Amna MF Mohamed and Zuheir N Mahmoud**

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.22271/fish.2023.v11.i3a.2810>

### Abstract

The impact of storage on the chemical composition of wet salted *Mugil cephalus*, *Chonas chonas* and *Gerres oyena* from Port Sudan. Red Sea coast was studied. Regression analysis showed significant correlation ( $p < 0.05$  to  $p < 0.001$ ) between decrease in moisture and in protein content in *M. cephalus*, *C. chonas* and *G. oyena* with storage duration in all salt concentration during both seasons. The fat content showed no consistent pattern in the three species while ash showed no significant correlation ( $p > 0.05$ ) between time of storage and salt concentration.

**Keywords:** Storage, chemical composition, wet-salted, marine, fish

### Introduction

Srikar *et al.* [1] concluded that storage at  $2.5 \pm 1$  °C considerably extends the shelf life of salted *Rastrelliger kangurta* and *Nemipterus japonicas*. Post-harvest treatment was found to prolong the shelf life of fishes (FAO; Abu Gideiri *et al.*; Leroi and Joffraud; Clement and Saheed) [2, 3, 4, 5] at ambient temperature. The methods used included chilling and freezing which decreases the temperature of fish and drying which increases the temperature of fish (FAO [2]. Fish curing comprises drying, smoking, wet-salting and pickling (Borgstrom; Horner) [6, 7]. Curing is usually applied to fish of low consumer preference and/or technically unsuitable for canning (Borgstrom) [6]. Salting by table salt is recognized as fair antimicrobial treatment (Wempe and Davidson; Leroi *et al.*; Reza *et al.*) [8, 9, 10]. Table salt is rich in food additives. According to Burt [11] the best fish to smoke are those with light fat such as salmon, trout, herring and mackerel because they absorb smoke faster and have acceptable texture by the consumer. Prolonged smoking may lead to dry and partially cooked product Borgstrom; Burt [6, 11]. It is of importance during fermentation to avoid using fat fish which are liable to oxidation and rancidity Encyclopedia of Food Sciences and Nutrition; Waindu and Jamala [12, 13]. The objective of this work is to study the impact of storage on the gross chemical composition of wet-salted marine coastal water.

### Material and Methods

#### Fish sampling and preservation

Highly fresh *M. cephalus*, *C. chanas* and *G. oyena* were purchases from Port Sudan fish market. After thoroughly cleaning each species was kept in three coded well tight plastic buckets and received 15%, 20% and 25% table salt by weight and stored till it became mature product at day 21 based on the producer's practices.

#### Proximate chemical analysis

The proximate composition (moisture, protein, fat and ash) were determined using the standard methods of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) [14]. Samples for determination of chemical composition were picked up randomly from each bucket every third day till day 21. Each reading of a chemical constituent is the mean of three replicates for each specimen.

**Corresponding Author:**

**Zuheir N Mahmoud**

Department of Zoology, Faculty  
of Science, University of  
Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan

**Statistical analysis**

Simple regression analysis was used to determine the correlation between the means of chemical composition of the three fish species during summer and winter with storage time. A probability of ( $p < 0.05$ ) was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results:** In *M. cephalus* regression analysis showed significant correlation ( $p < 0.05$  to  $p < 0.001$ ) between decrease in moisture and protein with storage time (Table 1) in all salt concentration during both seasons. The magnitude of change in fat was inconsistent ( $p > 0.05$  to  $p < 0.001$ ). In case of ash the correlation was insignificant ( $p > 0.05$ ). Table 1.

**Table 1:** The relationship between some chemical constituent of *Mugil cephalus* at different salt concentration and seasons with time. (T)

Parameter	Salt Conc.	Summer		Winter	
		Regression equation	p-value	Regression equation	p-value
Moisture (M)	15%	$M = 7.895 - 0.042T$	$p = 0.010$	$M = 13.613 - 0.043T$	$p = 0.022$
	20%	$M = 10.546 - 0.107T$	$p = 0.045$	$M = 8.774 - 0.063T$	$p = 0.001$
	25%	$M = 8.662T - 0.019T$	$p = 0.001$	$M = 7.849 - 0.367T$	$p = 0.047$
Protein (P)	15%	$P = 28.966 - 0.122T$	$p = 0.038$	$P = 30.956 - 0.155T$	$p = 0.010$
	20%	$P = 28.523 - 0.111T$	$p = 0.037$	$P = 30.295 - 0.146T$	$p = 0.033$
	25%	$P = 27.163 - 0.102T$	$p = 0.050$	$P = 29.709 - 0.146T$	$p = 0.040$
Fat (F)	15%	$F = 11.533 - 0.070T$	$p = 0.144$	$F = 10.030 - 0.029T$	$p = 0.072$
	20%	$F = 15.403 - 0.106T$	$p = 0.074$	$F = 10.068 - 0.034T$	$p = 0.028$
	25%	$F = 7.461 - 0.020T$	$p = 0.031$	$F = 8.774 - 0.024T$	$p = 0.001$
Ash (A)	15%	$A = 10.818 - 0.470T$	$p = 0.224$	$A = 16.165 - 0.055T$	$p = 0.232$
	20%	$A = 12.063 + 0.025T$	$p = 0.406$	$A = 16.637 - 0.039T$	$p = 0.076$
	25%	$A = 6.283 + 0.074T$	$p = 0.059$	$A = 18.046 - 0.061T$	$p = 0.101$

In *C. chonas* the trend of the results of regression analysis (Table 2) was typical to that of *M. cephalus*.

**Table 2:** The relationship between some chemical constituent of *Chonas chonas* at different salt concentration and seasons with time

Constituent	Salt Conc.	Summer		Winter	
		Regression equation	p-value	Regression equation	p-value
Moisture (M)	15%	$M = 9.858 - 0.423T$	$p = 0.033$	$M = 12.858 - 0.401T$	$p = 0.022$
	20%	$M = 13.613 - 0.097T$	$p = 0.001$	$M = 9.577 - 0.109T$	$p = 0.014$
	25%	$M = 9.827 - 0.096T$	$p = 0.017$	$M = 7.897 - 0.633T$	$p = 0.036$
Protein (P)	15%	$P = 26.182 - 0.085T$	$p = 0.047$	$P = 30.906 - 0.148T$	$p = 0.018$
	20%	$P = 26.401 - 0.092T$	$p = 0.037$	$P = 30.542 - 0.148T$	$p = 0.023$
	25%	$P = 25.193 - 0.079T$	$p = 0.038$	$P = 29.773 - 0.142T$	$p = 0.010$
Fat (F)	15%	$F = 8.980 - 0.041T$	$p = 0.072$	$F = 10.142 - 0.270T$	$p = 0.321$
	20%	$F = 8.938 - 0.042T$	$p = 0.028$	$F = 9.583 - 0.024T$	$p = 0.014$
	25%	$F = 4.990 - 0.004T$	$p = 0.025$	$F = 8.524 - 0.019T$	$p = 0.071$
Ash (A)	15%	$A = 10.047 - 0.051T$	$p = 0.430$	$A = 15.509 - 0.085T$	$p = 0.257$
	20%	$A = 13.460 - 0.003T$	$p = 0.307$	$A = 16.776 - 0.030T$	$p = 0.204$
	25%	$A = 12.931 - 0.013T$	$p = 0.131$	$A = 18.540 - 0.043T$	$p = 0.105$

In *G. oyena* regression analysis showed significant correlation ( $p < 0.05$  to  $p < 0.001$ ) between decrease in moisture and protein with storage time (Table 3) in all salt concentration during

summer and winter seasons. In case of fat and ash the correlation was insignificant ( $p > 0.05$ ) with respect to different salt concentrations and seasons.

**Table 3:** The relationship between some chemical constituent of *Gerrus oyena* at different salt concentration and seasons with time

Constituent	Salt Conc.	Summer		Winter	
		Regression equation	p-value	Regression equation	p-value
Moisture (MT)	15%	$M = 91.61 - 0.038T$	$p = 0.072$	$M = 10.646 - 0.051T$	$p = 0.041$
	20%	$M = 8.634 - 0.011T$	$p < 0.031$	$M = 8.224 - 0.032T$	$p = 0.017$
	25%	$M = 7.784 - 0.306T$	$p < 0.001$	$M = 11.104 - 0.006T$	$p = 0.033$
Protein (P)	15%	$P = 29.551 - 0.131T$	$p < 0.013$	$P = 30.518 - 0.172T$	$p = 0.037$
	20%	$P = 28.502 - 0.119T$	$p < 0.047$	$P = 29.948 - 0.142T$	$p = 0.001$
	25%	$P = 26.092 - 0.096T$	$p < 0.010$	$P = 29.851 - 0.145T$	$p = 0.072$
Fat (F)	15%	$F = 9.839 - 0.048T$	$p < 0.118$	$F = 10.426 - 0.040T$	$p = 0.228$
	20%	$F = 8.938 - 0.037T$	$p < 0.389$	$F = 10.875 - 0.049T$	$p = 0.202$
	25%	$F = 7.631 - 0.025T$	$p = 0.086$	$F = 8.612 - 0.022T$	$p = 0.430$
Ash (A)	15%	$A = 10.589 + 0.048T$	$p = 0.631$	$A = 15.799 - 0.059T$	$p = 0.137$
	20%	$A = 11.919 + 0.023T$	$p = 0.294$	$A = 17.267 - 0.040T$	$p = 0.539$
	25%	$A = 7.130 + 0.088T$	$p = 0.430$	$A = 17.530 - 0.065T$	$p = 0.243$

**Discussion**

Salting is one of the oldest techniques known by man for the preservation and increasing of shelf life of fish before other processing methods (Rashad; Hafez *et al.*) [15, 16]. The present

study showed that in *M. cephalus*, *C. chonas* and *G. oyena* regression analysis showed significant correlation ( $p < 0.05$  to  $p < 0.001$ ) between decrease in moisture and protein content with storage duration in all salt concentration during both

seasons. The fat content showed no consistent pattern in the three species while ash no significant correlation ( $p>0.05$ ) between time of storage and salt concentration. According to Alsaban *et al.* [17] salting and storage for three months decreased the moisture and protein contents of *Oreochromis niloticus*, *Clarias gariepinus* and *Mormyrus kannume*. General appearance, texture and smell of wet salted fish have significant contribution in product acceptability by the consumers. Srikar *et al.* [1] found that the chemical indices of acceptability were considerably lower in the products stored at  $2.5\pm 1$  °C compared with room temperature  $26.8\pm 3.3$  °C. Jittinandana *et al.* [18] found that the higher brine concentration caused dehydration of the fish fillets.

In cold-smoked salmon during 5 °C storage, salt and smoke simultaneously affect chemical and sensory quality (Leroi and Joffraud) [4] as well as microbiological quality (Leroi *et al.*) [9].

Srikar *et al.* [1] found that storage of dry-salted *Rastrelliger kangurta* and *Nemipterus japonicus* at ( $26.8\pm 3.3$  °C) considerably extend the shelf life of salted fish compared with storage at  $2.5\pm 1$  °C. Farid *et al.* [19] found that sun-drying of salted *Channa striatus* at room temperature (27 °C-30 °C) increased its shelf life. Majid *et al.* [20] found that storing of *Dasyatis* sp., for 1h in brine was the best treatment to yield a firm product.

Freezing storage add to the keeping quality of *Saurida undosquamis* as concluded by Mazrouh [21] and consequently to other species.

**Funding:** The Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research funded this work.

**Ethics:** The authors declare no conflict of interest financial or otherwise.

## References

- Srikar LN, Khuntia BK, Reddy GVS, Srinivasa BR. Influence of storage temperature on the quality of salted mackerel (*Rastrelliger kangurta*) and pink perch *Nemipterus japonicus* Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 1993;63(3):319-322.
- FAO, Food and Agriculture Organization The prevention of losses in cured fish. Fisheries Technical Paper 219, FAO Rome, Italy; c1981.
- Abu Gideiri YB, Ali ME, Mahmoud ZN. Post-harvest treatment of the Nile Bulti *Oreochromis niloticus*. Department of Zoology Research Series No. 1999;1:52.
- Leroi F, Joffraud JJ. Salt and smoke simultaneously affect chemical and sensory quality of cold-smoked salmon during 5 °C storage predicted using factorial design. J of Food Prot. 2000;63(9):1222-1227.
- Clement KS, Saheed M. Sun and solar cabinet drying of salted shark fillets, Proceedings of the 14th international drying symposium (IDS 2004) Sao Paulo, Brazil. 2004 Aug;C:1584-1591.
- Borgstrom G. Fish as Food. Academic Press. NY, 1961, 1-4.
- Horner WFA. Preservation of fish by curing, drying, salting and smoking. In: Hall GM, Fish processing technology (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). London: Blackie Academic and Professional; c1997. p. 32-73.
- Wempe JW, Davidson PM. Bacteriological profile and shell life of white amur (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*). J Food Sci. 1992;57(1):66-68.
- Leroi F, Joffraud JJ, Chevalier F. Effect of salt and smoke on the microbiological quality of cold-smoked salmon during storage at 5 °C as estimated by the factorial design method. J of Food Prot. 2000;63(4):502-508.
- Reza SA, Karmaker S, Hasan M, *et al.* Effect of Traditional Fish Processing Methods on the Proximate and Microbiological Characteristics of *Laubuka dadiburjori* During Storage at Room Temperature. Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science. 2015;10(4):232-243.
- Burt JR. Fish smoking and drying, Elsevier Applied Science; c1988. ISBN 9781851662470.
- Encyclopedia of Food Sciences and Nutrition, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed; c2003.
- Waindu C, Jamala GY. A Study of Rancidity of Fish Sold in Mubi Markets, Adamawa State, Nigeria. Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science. 2013;6(2):47-50.
- AOAC. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 17<sup>th</sup> ed. Washington D.C.; c2016.
- Rashad FM. Bacteriological and chemical studies on salted mullet fish "Feseekh" a traditional fermented fish product in Egypt. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric, Cairo Univ, Egypt; c1986.
- Hafez NE, Awad AM, Ibrahim SM, Mohamed HR, *et al.* Effect of Salting Process on Fish Quality. Nutrition and Food Processing, 2019, 2(1). Doi:10.31579/2637-8876/011
- Alsaban WA, Abouelhasan SH, Hassan MAM. Effect of salting and storage on chemical composition of some fish species. Journal of Food and Dairy Sciences. 2014;5(6):451-458. DOI:10.21608/jfds.2014.53003
- Jittinandana S, Kenney PB, Slider SD, Kiser RA. Effect of brine concentration and brining time on quality of smoked rainbow trout fillet. J of Food Science. 2002;67(6):2095-2099.
- Farid FB, Latifa GA, Nahid MN, Chakraborty SC, *et al.* Effect of Sun-drying on proximate composition and pH of Shoal fish (*C. striatus*; Bloch, 1801) treated with Salt and Salt-turmeric storage at Room Temperature (27 °C-30 °C). Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science 2014;7(9):1-8.
- Majid Z, Rohmanna N, Robbani S. Effect of brine time on quality of wet-salted fish', Tropical Wetland Journal. 2020;6(1):05-09.
- Mazrouh MM. Effects of freezing storage on the biochemical composition in muscles of *Saurida undosquamis* (Richardson, 1848) comparing with imported frozen. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatics Science. 2015;3(2):295-299.