



E-ISSN: 2347-5129

P-ISSN: 2394-0506

(ICV-Poland) Impact Value: 76.37

(GIF) Impact Factor: 0.549

IJFAS 2022; 10(4): 244-246

© 2022 IJFAS

[www.fisheriesjournal.com](http://www.fisheriesjournal.com)

Received: 03-05-2022

Accepted: 15-07-2022

**Dr. Vinod Kumar Ojha**

Associate Professor and Head,  
Department of Chemistry, D.S.  
College, Katihar, Bihar, India

## Microplastics in marine ecosystems: Sources, effects, and mitigation strategies

**Dr. Vinod Kumar Ojha**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/fish.2022.v10.i4c.2984>

### Abstract

Microplastics are emerging as a significant pollutant in marine ecosystems, with detrimental effects on marine life, ecosystems, and potentially human health. This review examines the sources, pathways, and distribution of microplastics in marine environments. It also explores their ecological and biological impacts, focusing on how microplastics affect marine organisms across trophic levels. Furthermore, the paper discusses current mitigation strategies aimed at reducing microplastic pollution, including policy frameworks, technological advancements, and individual actions. The review underscores the urgent need for global collaboration to address the growing threat of microplastic contamination in marine ecosystems.

**Keywords:** Microplastics, marine ecosystems, pollution, ecological impact, mitigation strategies, plastic waste, marine organisms

### 1. Introduction

Plastic pollution in marine environments has become a global environmental challenge. Among the most pervasive pollutants are microplastics, defined as plastic particles smaller than 5 mm in diameter. These particles originate from a variety of sources and are now found in oceans worldwide, even in remote regions such as the Arctic and deep-sea environments. Microplastics are highly persistent and can remain in marine ecosystems for decades, causing long-term ecological harm. As these particles accumulate, their presence in marine food webs and potential impact on human health has raised significant concern. The global production of plastic has increased exponentially over the past century, with over 380 million tons of plastic produced annually. A large portion of this plastic ends up in the oceans, breaking down into smaller particles that persist in the marine environment. The durability of plastic, once considered a technological advantage, has now become one of its most significant environmental risks, particularly in marine ecosystems. Microplastics, due to their small size, can be ingested by a wide range of marine organisms, leading to a host of physical, chemical, and biological impacts.

### Objective

The main objective of this study is to provide a comprehensive review of the sources, ecological impacts, and mitigation strategies related to microplastic pollution in marine ecosystems.

### Sources of microplastics in marine ecosystems

Microplastics enter marine environments through a variety of pathways, and they can be broadly categorized into primary and secondary microplastics. Primary microplastics are those manufactured in their small size for specific purposes. These include microbeads in cosmetics and personal care products, plastic pellets used in industrial manufacturing (also known as nurdles), and synthetic fibers from clothing. Microbeads, which are often found in products such as exfoliating scrubs, toothpaste, and cleansers, are washed down the drain and can bypass wastewater treatment systems, eventually entering oceans and rivers. Nurdles, which are small plastic pellets used as raw materials in the manufacturing of larger plastic products,

**Corresponding Author:**

**Dr. Vinod Kumar Ojha**

Associate Professor and Head,  
Department of Chemistry, D.S.  
College, Katihar, Bihar, India

often spill into waterways during transportation and handling, contributing to microplastic pollution in oceans. Secondary microplastics, on the other hand, result from the fragmentation of larger plastic items due to environmental exposure. As plastic debris is exposed to sunlight, mechanical abrasion, and chemical reactions in the ocean, it breaks down into smaller and smaller particles. Common sources of secondary microplastics include plastic bags, bottles, fishing gear, and other large debris that are discarded or lost in the marine environment. The degradation process of these plastics is slow, and they remain in the ecosystem for extended periods.

Industrial discharges and runoff from urban areas also contribute significantly to microplastic pollution. Microfibers from synthetic textiles, released during the washing process, are a notable source of microplastics. Studies have shown that a single load of laundry can release hundreds of thousands of microfibers, which pass through wastewater treatment plants and enter rivers and oceans. Urban runoff from streets, construction sites, and landfills also carries microplastics into water bodies. During rainfall events, these particles are swept from urban surfaces into storm drains, eventually making their way into rivers and the ocean.

Marine-based activities such as commercial fishing, aquaculture, and shipping also introduce microplastics into the ocean. Abandoned or lost fishing nets, ropes, and other gear made from synthetic materials degrade into microplastic particles over time. Shipping activities contribute to microplastic pollution through accidental spills of plastic pellets and other plastic materials during transport. Together, these sources create a constant influx of microplastics into marine ecosystems, which accumulate in ocean gyres, coastal areas, and marine sediments.

A study by GESAMP (Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection, 2015) <sup>[1]</sup> estimated that between 1.5 and 4.5 million tons of plastic waste enter the oceans each year, much of which eventually breaks down into microplastic particles. These figures highlight the widespread nature of microplastic pollution and the complexity of controlling its numerous sources. Given the variety of pathways through which microplastics are introduced into marine ecosystems, addressing this issue requires a multi-faceted approach, including improved waste management practices, stricter regulations, and public awareness.

### Effects of microplastics on marine ecosystems

Microplastics have far-reaching effects on marine ecosystems, impacting organisms across different trophic levels, from primary producers such as plankton to top predators such as marine mammals and seabirds. Their small size allows them to be ingested by a wide range of marine species, leading to a multitude of physical, chemical, and biological effects. These impacts are not only restricted to individual organisms but can propagate through entire ecosystems, disrupting ecological processes and potentially affecting human health through the marine food web.

One of the most documented impacts of microplastics is ingestion by marine organisms. Microplastics are often mistaken for food by a variety of species, from filter feeders like zooplankton to large marine mammals such as whales. Ingested microplastics can block the digestive tracts of animals, leading to reduced feeding capacity, malnutrition, and in severe cases, death. For example, a study by Lusher *et*

*al.* (2015) <sup>[2]</sup> found that microplastics were present in 73% of fish species sampled in the North Atlantic, indicating the widespread contamination of marine food webs by plastic particles. In smaller organisms, such as zooplankton, the ingestion of microplastics can reduce energy intake, affecting growth and reproductive success. As these organisms form the base of marine food chains, the effects of microplastic ingestion can ripple through the ecosystem, impacting higher trophic levels.

In addition to the physical impacts of ingestion, microplastics can have toxicological effects on marine organisms. Microplastics can absorb and concentrate toxic chemicals from seawater, including persistent organic pollutants (POPs), heavy metals, and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). When marine organisms ingest microplastics, these toxic chemicals can leach into their tissues, leading to a variety of negative health effects, including hormonal imbalances, reproductive disorders, and increased mortality rates. Wright *et al.* (2013) <sup>[3]</sup> highlighted that microplastics can act as vectors for these toxicants, facilitating their transport through marine food webs. This is particularly concerning for species at the top of the food chain, such as fish and marine mammals, as these organisms can accumulate high concentrations of toxins through biomagnification.

Microplastics can also disrupt ecosystem processes. Filter feeders, such as mussels, oysters, and other bivalves, are particularly vulnerable to the presence of microplastics in their environment. These organisms filter large volumes of water to obtain food, but in the process, they can ingest significant amounts of microplastic particles. Studies have shown that the presence of microplastics in the water can reduce the feeding efficiency of these organisms, impairing their ability to obtain the nutrients they need for growth and reproduction. In addition, microplastics can settle on the seafloor, affecting benthic ecosystems by altering sediment structure and interfering with nutrient cycling.

The threat to marine biodiversity posed by microplastics is profound. Many marine species, particularly those that are already endangered or vulnerable, are facing increasing pressures from habitat loss, climate change, and overfishing. The addition of microplastic pollution further exacerbates these threats, potentially pushing some species closer to extinction. Coral reefs, which provide critical habitat for a wide variety of marine species, are particularly at risk from microplastic pollution. As microplastics accumulate on coral reefs, they can inhibit the ability of corals to feed and reproduce, ultimately leading to reef degradation. The loss of coral reefs would have devastating consequences for marine biodiversity, as these ecosystems support an estimated 25% of all marine species. The effects of microplastics on marine ecosystems are extensive and multifaceted. These particles not only cause direct harm to marine organisms through ingestion and toxic exposure but also disrupt ecological processes and threaten marine biodiversity. As microplastics continue to accumulate in the ocean, their impacts on marine ecosystems are likely to worsen, making it essential to address this issue through effective mitigation strategies.

### Conclusion

Microplastic pollution in marine ecosystems represents a significant and growing environmental threat. These tiny plastic particles, originating from a variety of sources such as industrial runoff, personal care products, and the degradation of larger plastics, have infiltrated every corner of the marine

environment from surface waters and shorelines to deep-sea sediments and remote areas like the Arctic. The widespread presence of microplastics in marine ecosystems poses serious risks to marine organisms, ecosystems, and potentially human health.

The effects of microplastics are far-reaching, impacting organisms across trophic levels. Marine species ingest these particles, leading to physical harm, reduced feeding efficiency, and even death. Furthermore, microplastics can act as vectors for harmful chemicals, amplifying their toxicological effects and allowing them to bioaccumulate and biomagnify through the marine food web. Ecosystem processes, such as nutrient cycling and habitat function, are also disrupted by the presence of microplastics, threatening biodiversity and the stability of marine ecosystems.

Addressing this issue requires a comprehensive approach that includes policy interventions, technological innovations, and changes in consumer behavior. Policy measures, such as bans on microbeads and stricter regulations on plastic waste management, are essential for reducing the input of microplastics into the marine environment. Technological advancements, such as improved wastewater treatment processes and ocean cleanup technologies, play a critical role in mitigating the existing pollution. Public awareness and individual actions, such as reducing plastic use and supporting sustainable alternatives, are equally important in reducing the demand for plastic and preventing further pollution. In conclusion, while significant progress has been made in addressing the microplastic problem, much work remains to be done. The complexity of the issue, with multiple sources and pathways contributing to marine pollution, necessitates coordinated global efforts. By implementing effective strategies at every level from local waste management to international regulatory frameworks we can mitigate the impacts of microplastics on marine ecosystems and safeguard the health of our oceans for future generations.

## References

1. GESAMP (Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection). Sources, fate, and effects of microplastics in the marine environment: a global assessment. Reports and Studies No. 90, IMO/FAO/UNESCO-IOC/UNIDO/WMO/IAEA/UN/UNEP Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection; 2015.
2. Lusher AL, McHugh M, Thompson RC. Occurrence of microplastics in the gastrointestinal tract of pelagic and demersal fish from the English Channel. *Mar Pollut Bull.* 2015;95(1):88-96.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.04.028>
3. Wright SL, Thompson RC, Galloway TS. The physical impacts of microplastics on marine organisms: A review. *Environ Pollut.* 2013;178:483-492.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.02.031>
4. Browne MA, Crump P, Niven SJ, Teuten EL, Tonkin A, Galloway TS, *et al.* Accumulation of microplastic on shorelines worldwide: sources and sinks. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2011;45(21):9175-9179.  
<https://doi.org/10.1021/es201811s>
5. Andrady AL. Microplastics in the marine environment. *Mar Pollut Bull.* 2011;62(8):1596-1605.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.05.030>
6. Barnes DKA, Galgani F, Thompson RC, Barlaz M.

Accumulation and fragmentation of plastic debris in global environments. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2009;364(1526):1985-1998.

<https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0205>

7. Desforges JPW, Galbraith M, Dangerfield N, Ross PS. Widespread distribution of microplastics in subsurface seawater in the NE Pacific Ocean. *Mar Pollut Bull.* 2014;79(1-2):94-99.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.12.035>
8. Thompson RC, Olsen Y, Mitchell RP, Davis A, Rowland SJ, John AWG, *et al.* Lost at sea: Where is all the plastic? *Science.* 2004;304(5672):838.  
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1094559>