Sustainability of striped catfish (*Pangasianodon hypophthalmus*, Sauvage, 1878) culture in Andhra Pradesh, India
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Abstract

In spite of vast diverse fish genetic resource of India, several exotic species have been introduced into India. Chinese carps which were introduced in India with an objective of increasing the yields in aquaculture has paved way for composite fish culture in India. Introduction of exotic species for fisheries and aquaculture was successful in some instances while in few situations, it failed leading to controversy over protection of native biodiversity. Pangasius (*Pangasianodon hypophthalmus*) an exotic species has been introduced into India and is not only adopted for culture in states like West Bengal and Andhra Pradesh but also established as an alternative candidate species in freshwater aquaculture. Fish seed production segment in West Bengal played a pivotal role in the expansion of Pangasius farming in Andhra Pradesh. Pangasius monoculture was found to be profitable in comparison to polyculture with carps and other fishes. Use of formulated feeds is the major choice of Pangasius farmers. Pangasius catfish is less prone to diseases when compared to carps and is sensitive to abiotic stress. Comparatively, low market value of Pangasius than carps has increased avenues of fish consumption by low income consumers. Very fast development in culture of Pangasius has created many challenges such as dearth of quality seed, inconsistent management practices, prevalence of stress induced diseases, glut in the market and acute decline in the farm gate price leading to stabilization of farming area in Andhra Pradesh. Pangasius farming in Andhra Pradesh is sustaining due to strategic stocking and harvesting pattern adopted by the farmers conforming to market trend. Inappropriate practices in order to achieve higher yields and input-specific technical inefficiency are associated with the economic losses while minimizing the use of inputs can reduce risk factors in Pangasius farming. It is important to record that demand for carp culture in Andhra Pradesh is still greater in spite of less complications underlying in Pangasius farming and management.
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1. Introduction

Introduction of exotic fish species is a common tool in many parts of the world for improving local fishery potential, expanding species diversity in aquatic systems, promoting sport fishing, increasing aquaculture trade and as biological controlling agents (Kumar, 2000, Singh and Lakra, 2012) [1, 2]. Cross-country transfer of fishes raised global concern as it result in a wide range of problems including displacement of native species (Raman et al., 2013) [3]. Exotic species in several instances have become competitors for indigenous fishes for food as also habitat by sharing common ecological niches (De Silva, 1989; Rafferty, 2019) [4, 5]. In some instances they even prey upon indigenous fishes, harbor new diseases and parasites and sometimes, resulting in the production of hybrids and thus not only leads to degradation of the quality of the aquatic ecosystems but increase threat to existing biodiversity (Nyman, 1991) [6]. The potential risks might not limit to qualitative and quantitative biodiversity but become detrimental to socioeconomic issues related to the human community that depend on aquatic ecosystems for their livelihood. There are instances that invasive species not only modified but disrupted the ecosystems in which it colonizes (Philipp et al., 1995) [7]. Global commerce is the most common tool by which, exotic species are recruited in new habitats (Rafferty, 2019) [8].
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Striped catfish (Pangasianodon hypophthalmus) was introduced into Andhra Pradesh by seed sellers from West Bengal, India as early as 1995 (Ramakrishna et al., 2013; Singh and Lakra, 2012) [9, 10]. Initially, it was incorporated into Indian major carp polyculture systems but over time, it has transformed into monoculture due to higher growth rates and production levels. Currently, Pangasius culture in Andhra Pradesh has evolved as the second most important culture system in the state with currently estimated production of 400,000 tonnes per annum (Belton et al., 2017; Ramakrishna et al., 2013) [9, 8].

2. Methods and Methodology

Importance of review articles that synthesize existing data and generate guidelines is increasing in contemporary science (Palmatier et al., 2018) [10]. Present article is an integrated attempt that discussed theoretical and practical contributions besides comprising experimental data of authors pertaining to Pangasius catfish (Pangasianodon hypophthalmus) farming in Andhra Pradesh, India. We have consulted one hundred original works that were carried out mostly during the past two decades (2000-2020) with few exceptions on the subject of interest and quoted 96% of the original works while formatting and interpreting the accrued data.

This paper is also jointly based on an investigative study on the Pangasius breeding and farming in Andhra Pradesh that was carried out during 2011-2016 further authenticated by Focus Group Discussions (Krueger and Casey, 2000) [11] during 2016-2019. Present study (Fig.1) includes information accrued from interviews with Pangasius farmers (55%), Seed growers (15%), Farm labour (6%), Fish packers and Traders including ice factory owners (8%), Feed manufacturers and dealers (6%), aquaculture technicians and consultants (6%) and government officials (4%). Consistency levels in the opinions expressed by the respondents were confirmed in repeat interviews conducted on 10% of the original sample in follow-up round (Benedetti et al., 2010) [12].

3. Introduction of Exotic Fish Species

Despite the vast divergence in indigenous fish genetic resources, more than 300 exotic species have been introduced in India from other countries (Jhingran, 1991) [13]. Most of them are ornamental fishes which remain, more or less, confined to the aquaria while some others have been introduced in aquaculture and open water systems with varying levels of success (Choudhary and Goswami, 2012) [14]. Chinese carps viz., Silver carp (Hypophthalmichthys molitrix), Grass carp (Ctenopharyngodon idella) and Common carp (Cyprinus carpio) were introduced in India with the aim of expanding the species spectrum in aquaculture (Sugunan, 2011) [15]. Andenishing the fish yields through optimum utilization of trophic niches (Jhingran, 1991; Choudhary and Goswami, 2012) [13, 14]. Subsequently, six species composite fish culture was widely accepted in the country due to significant enhancement in unit production levels (Pillai and Katiha, 2004) [16]. Although, exotic carps viz., Silver carp, Grass carp and Common carp have become established in Indian culture systems due to their compatibility with Indian Major Carps, but their involvement in pond culture systems have undergone extensive refinement and modification (Soranganha and Saxena, 2007) [17]. Recruitment of exotic fishes into the open waters has become debatable due to detrimental impact on the fish species diversity of the Indian rivers (Bhattacharjya et al., 2017; Sarkar et al., 2015) [18, 19]. Inclusion of exotic species in fisheries and aquaculture as tools of diversification is successful in some instances (Bhatt and Singh, 2014) [20] while in few cases have failed leading to controversy over protecting the native biodiversity (Jena and Gopalkrishnan, 2012) [21]. Exotic species such as Tilapia (Oreochromis mossambicus) has become great menace in pond culture systems and affected carp growth resulting in severe low unit production incurring heavy losses to the farmer (Ramakrishna et al., 2013) [8]. Similarly, invasion of sucker-mouth catfish (Pterygoplichthys disjunctivus) into carp culture systems in Andhra Pradesh retarded fish growth significantly by 30% besides imposing environmental stress, exhibiting direct competitive interaction through disrupting aquatic food chain and reduce food availability in the ponds (Hussan et al., 2016; Singh, 2014) [22, 23] as well as rivers (Meena et al., 2016) [24].

4. Striped Catfish /Pangasius (Pangasianodon hypophthalmus)

Pangasianodon hypophthalmus, an exotic species of freshwater catfish (Family: Pangasiidae, Order: Siluriformes, Class: Actinopterygii) was introduced into India and has been adopted for culture in states like West Bengal and Andhra Pradesh (Ayyappan et al., 2015, Ramakrishna et al., 2013; Singh and Lakra, 2012) [25, 8, 1] in view of variety of reasons viz., (i) faster growth rate of attaining 1.5 kg in 6 months, (ii) less cost of production, (iii) sustain DO stress and other factors. Consumer preference of Pangasius (P. hypophthalmus) was attributed to less number of inter muscular bones in the flesh. Culture of Pangasius has been officially admitted in Indian waters by Govt. of India in 2009 through formulation of guidelines (NFDB, 2009) [29]. Northeastern states functioned as gateway for unauthorized introduction of alien fish species to India from Bangladesh, Thailand (Chattopadhyay, 2016; Singh and Lakra, 2012) [27, 2]. The species preferred for transfer include African catfish (Clarias gariepinus); Thai catfish (Clarias macrolepidus); Pangasid catfish (Pangasianodon hypophthalmus); Pacu (Piaractus brachypomus) and white-leg shrimp (Litopenaeus vannamei) out of which Pangasius culture has shown phenomenal growth during 2009-2010 reaching 16,000 Ha in Andhra Pradesh alone with production of 0.6 million MT fish. Most of the fish farmers in Krishna-Godavari Delta have adopted Pangasius farming as an alternative to carp culture in the areas such as (i) which have suffered losses in shrimp farming and (ii) less productive areas (Belton et al., 2017) [9]. Dimensions of poorly regulated expansion in Pangasius culture in Andhra Pradesh has resulted in social-ecological as also created huge economic crisis (Padiyar et al., 2014) [28]. Huge supply of Pangasius fish has caused prices to crash by more than 50% and resulted in heavy losses to small farmers who exited from Pangasius farming subsequently (Belton et al., 2017) [9]. The market avenues for the Pangasius are scanty and limited (Mugaonkar et al., 2016) [29] when compared to the well-established trading network and consumer markets of the Indian major carps. As a result, farming of Pangasius (P. hypophthalmus) is now restricted to 14,000 ha (Fig. 2) covering approximately 7-8% of the total fish culture area in Krishna-Godavari Delta region with stabilized production of 250,000 tonnes (Fig. 3). Very fast development in culture of Pangasius has created many challenges such as dearth of quality seed, inconsistent management practices, prevalence of stress induced diseases, glut in the market and acute decline in the farm gate price. These problems have warranted
the need for developing a sustainable hatchery technology, production of quality seed and standardization of nursery and rearing practices. Farmers in Andhra Pradesh and West Bengal have accepted Pangasius as profitable species in aquaculture owing to its faster growth and less complications in culture operations (Jayasankar and Giri, 2013) [30].

5. Seed Production of *P. hypophthalmus*

West Bengal state has become the focal point in seed production of *P. hypophthalmus* in the country with seed production capacities ranging from 300 to 500 every year. Large volumes of seed is exported to Andhra Pradesh followed by Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Kerala, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh and Karnataka states. Seed production of *P. hypophthalmus* is not only used for culture but is also sold for the aquarium trade (Singh and Lakra, 2012) [21]. Production per hectare per year in Pangasius culture in ponds is known to range from 7 to 50 tonnes (Griffiths *et al.*, 2010) [31]. Fish seed production segment in West Bengal played pivotal role in catalyzing the expansion of Pangasius farming in Andhra Pradesh (Ali *et al.*, 2013) [32]. Fish seed traders from West Bengal were able to fulfill 2 billion demand for Pangasius seed supply in Andhra Pradesh sourced from trans-border hatcheries (Padiyar *et al.*, 2014; Tam *et al.*, 2010) [32, 33]. Seed contributes to second most important cost that accounts for 12 to 14% of cultivation cost (Mugaonkar *et al.*, 2019) [34]. This is higher than the cost incurred by farmers in Bangladesh ( Alam, 2011) [35]. Additional premium paid by Pangasius farmers in Andhra Pradesh involved transportation costs from West Bengal. Establishment of hatchery and seed production facilities for *Pangasianodon hypophthalmus* in Andhra Pradesh has demonstrated commercial scale production of Pangasius seed through induced breeding (ICAR, 2013) [30]. Subsequently, establishment of one large commercial hatchery facility under private sector in Andhra Pradesh during 2018 has minimized dependency on West Bengal to 30%.

6. Mono and Polyculture of Pangasius (*P. hypophthalmus*)

Farmers hailing from Krishna and West Godavari districts of Andhra Pradesh are culturing Pangasius in ponds ranging from 4 to 10 ha (Fig. 4). Although Pangasius is cultivated under monoculture as well as in combination with Indian major carps, monoculture was found to be profitable and uncomplicated. Therefore, most of the farmers have adopted monoculture practice. In polyculture system Pangasius and Rohu are incorporated in 95:5 ratio at a density of 25000/Ha and 1,250/Ha respectively (Nair and Salin, 2007) [37]. Higher stocking of rohu in mixed culture has hampered its growth due to shortage in the availability of feed. Although several experiments established profitability in Pangasius polyculture in combination with Rohu and Catla (Ali *et al.*, 2016; Azad *et al.*, 2004; Shafigullah *et al.*, 2019) [38, 39, 40], Freshwater Prawn (Islam *et al.*, 2008) [41] but farmers by and large preferred monoculture of Pangasius. Although compatibility of Pangasius polyculture with white-leg shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) was successfully demonstrated by some farmers under field conditions, it could not be practiced due to operational difficulties and loss of control over overheads. Polyculture of *P. hypophthalmus* is confined to low-salinity shrimp ponds abandoned after the white spot syndrome (WSS) virus outbreaks in Andhra Pradesh (Nair and Salin, 2007) [37]. Rohu (*L. rohita*) and catla (*Catla catla*) tolerate salinities up to 8ppt (Ali *et al.*, 2015) [42] while Pangasius grow well even in 13 ppt (Castaneda *et al.*, 2010; Jahan *et al.*, 2019) [43, 44] and can tolerate up to 23 ppt (Nair and Salin, 2007) [37]. However, grow-out under higher salinities can confer a darker coloration to carp, which is not desirable in the market. Over the years, it was recorded that there was a shift in culture practice to Pangasius in some of the areas in Andhra Pradesh due to less management problems, higher productions and closure of shrimp ponds due to disease outbreaks and frequent crop failures. Not only farmers who suffered heavy losses in shrimp farming but also farmers performing carp culture in inland command areas have adopted *P. hypophthalmus* farming as an alternative to carp farming. Cost of production in Pangasius has increased by almost 200% due to escalation in cost of inputs and hasimpacted marginal farmers and their socio-economic conditions. At the same time, improper methods to achieve higher fish yield and input-specific technical ineffectiveness also associated with the economic losses in Pangasius farming (Khan *et al.*, 2017; Ngoc *et al.*, 2018) [45, 46]. Similarly, polyculture of pacu (*Piaractus brachypomus*) which is introduced illegally in India is another emerging aquaculture practice in Andhra Pradesh (Singh, 2018) [47] next to Pangasius. However, it is interesting to record that demand for carp culture in Andhra Pradesh is still greater to the extent of 69.5% (Fig. 5) in spite of uncomplicated culture operations in cultivating *P. hypophthalmus* (6.5%).

7. Diseases in Pangasius Catfish

Despite, Pangasius is an air-breathing catfish but maintenance of optimum water quality in culture ponds should not be ignored because it is found to be very sensitive to abiotic stress, mostly due to ammonia toxicity (Azad *et al.*, 2004) [49]. However, when compared to carps, it is able to sustain poor water quality conditions (Faruk, 2008) [48]. Deterioration in water quality and low water temperatures will enhance the risk of parasite (Lakra and Singh, 2010; Swain, 2017) [48, 49] and bacterial infections (Singh and Lakra, 2011; Dung *et al.*, 2008) [50, 51]. Although disease outbreak is not uncommon in Pangasius, instances of mass mortalities are rare in Pangasius ponds unlike carp culture that result in heavy fish mortality. The average estimated economic loss due to diseases was 3.6% of the total income to farmers (Faruk *et al.*, 2017) [52] as against 14% in carp farming (Faruk, 2008) [48] besides huge mortalities in carp culture due to diseases (Mishra *et al.*, 2018) [53] and retarded growth (Sahoo *et al.*, 2013) [54].

Pangasius was found to be most commonly infected with myxozoan parasites (Baska *et al.*, 2009; Molnar *et al.*, 2006) [55, 56]. *P. hypophthalmus* is prone to diseases in all stages of life cycle but high mortalities occur in fingerlings and juvenile fish (Dung *et al.*, 2008) [51]. Disease management in Pangasius farming is done using antibiotics as well as probiotics (Mishra *et al.*, 2017; Singh and Lakra, 2012) [57, 2]. Although relatively easy management and culture operations prompted fish farmers to adapt Pangasius culture in the region, small and marginal farmers are incurring economic losses due to escalating costs for feed and health management when compared to large farmers (Khiem *et al.*, 2010; Lakra and Singh, 2010) [58, 48]. But, economic loss in Pangasius farming is recorded not more than 2.5% in Andhra Pradesh. At the same time, disease occurrence has no relevance to pond size. It was recorded that 45% farmers faced disease problem in winter while 15% of farmers reported occurrence of diseases during summer. Forty percent farmers have expressed that although, diseases in Pangasius farming are high during low
temperatures but pathogenesis and occurrence of various diseases follows no seasonality. Red disease (28%) was found to be predominant problem encountered by Pangasius farmers followed by Bloat (16%), Ammonia stress (15%), Columnaris (10%), Flukes (10%), Dropy (9%), Skin ulcers (8%) and 4% other non-specific problems (Fig. 6). Concern in the farming sector is increasing about the long term sustainability of Pangasius farming because of increase in the occurrence of diseases and their negative impact on delivering socio-economic perspectives. This warrants the need for understanding status of antimicrobial resistance and the transfer of genetically mobile antibiotic-resistant genes in Pangasius farming (Dung et al., 2008) [31].

8. Feeds and Feeding Practices

Pangasius is cultured using feeds in which protein content ranges from 28 to 32% that mostly consist of cereals (Kader et al., 2003; Sayeed et al., 2009) [59, 60]. Earlier studies revealed that digestibility of soybean meal by striped catfish is 94.4% when compared to blood meal, meat and bone meal (Nguyen, 2013; Tran et al., 2010) [61, 62]. Hence, soy meal ingredients form major source of protein in Pangasius feeds (Da et al., 2013) [63]. Pangasius can also be cultured using farm made feeds (Nguyen, 2013) [64]. Most of the farmers in Andhra Pradesh accounting to 88% use commercially prepared formulated feeds as against 12% of farmers use farm made feeds. Similar conditions prevail in other countries (Le and Pham, 2006) [65]. However, Faruk et al. (2017) [66] found that use of farm made feeds results in over-feeding as well as wastage that subsequently result in deterioration of water quality. Hence, effective combinations of two types of feed could aid in optimizing the feed utilization and thereby reducing cost incurred. The low input diet of Pangasius made from locally available ingredients reflects an advantage in terms of reduced feed costs (Khan et al., 2009) [67], minimizes cost of production as well as ensures eco-friendly produce (Ahmed et al., 2013) [68]. Commercially produced pellet feed is the major choice of large farmers in Andhra Pradesh. Small and marginal farmers are incurring economic losses due to escalating costs for feed and health management when compared to large farmers (Lakra and Singh, 2010) [69] since feed in Pangasius farming accounts for 80-85% of total production cost (Griffiths et al., 2010) [70]. Few farmers prepare their own feed with customized formula. This practice is reducing cost of production by 6-8%. Thus, feed cost can be significantly reduced by resorting to farm made feeds (Jayasanker, 2018) [66]. Some fish farmers maintain a feeding enclosure in each pond while using floating feeds. Feed pellets are broadcasted inside the enclosure so that pellets do not drift and accumulate in the shoreline of the pond due to wind action. Thus, feed is not only easily accessible to the fishes in a feeding zone but also prevent feed wastage (Sarvanan et al., 2012) [69]. Wet Distillery Grains (WDG) were used as Pangasius feed primarily as an energy, protein and digestible phosphorus source instead of fish meal and other expensive dietary energy ingredients. However, use of WDG did not gain popularity as fish feed due to various reasons such as variability in nutrient content (Shurson, 2012) [70], limiting essential amino acids (Lim and Aksoy, 2008) [71], yellow pigmentation of meat (Banrie, 2013) [72] and very small shelf life due to which it spoils rapidly (Wadhwa and Bakshi, 2016) [73] besides increased ammonia and nitrite content in Pangasius culture systems.

9. Ornamental value of P. hypophthalmus

Striped catfish, Pangasianodon hypophthalmus is well known among home aquarists as the “Iridescent shark/Tiger Shark” in aquarium trade has achieved impressive adoption as a commercial aquaculture species since they are hardy (Bailey, 2021, McGee, 2010) [74, 75] and account to 15-20% of aquarium trade in Andhra Pradesh besides having export value as indigenous ornamental fish (Gupta and Banerjee, 2012) [76] to other states like Tamilnadu. However, aquarium owners have agreed that Tiger Sharks are not easy fish to keep since the fish has instinct of blind hit against aquarium wall leading to injuries that may result in sinking of fish to the bottom, where it may lie till the recovery or sometimes die (Axelord and Leonard, 1996) [77]. Albino varieties of Pangasianodon hypophthalmus (White Tiger Shark) fetch five times premium price than dark pigmented young ones. Some of the compatible tank mates for Pangasianodon hypophthalmus include other large fish such as Arowanas, Daturioides and large Cichilids (Bailey, 2021) [78].

10. Economics and Market value

The major determinants of Pangasius production are feed, seed, farm size, labour, land lease and days of culture (Fig. 7). Cost of production in Pangasius farming is 20% higher in large farms than small and marginal farms (Mugaonkar et al., 2019) [79]. Feed accounts for 80-85% of total production cost for farmers (Alam, 2011; Nguyen, 2013) [80, 81] followed by seed which is second most important cost and accounts for 6-8% of cultivation cost while Mugaonkar et al. (2019) [82] estimated that seed cost contributes to 12-14% of production cost. The cost of casual human labour on overall basis accounts for about 2-3% of total variable cost (Alam, 2011) [83]. Extension of culture period is resulting in increase of production cost by 10% as against 4% increase in fish production. Average production cost of Pangasius in Andhra Pradesh accounts to INR 65-70 per kilo of fish with production levels of 35 tonnes per hectare. Production cost of Pangasius in India, has increased by 190% since the inception of culture in 2004. Farm-gate price also increased by 180% by 2020 except for extreme inconsistency in offering remunerative prices (Fig. 8). Production costs have increased by 180% in various countries due to increase in input costs (Merican, 2020; Mugaonkar et al., 2019) [78, 84]. Simultaneously, profits in Pangasius culture have declined from 54% in 2004 to 18% in 2019. Exceptionally, farmers have incurred loss of 9% during COVID Pandemic (Fig. 9). In spite of highly fluctuating markets in India (Mohan et al., 2019) [79] as well as other countries (Thong et al., 2020) [80], Pangasius farming in Andhra Pradesh is sustaining due to strategic stocking and harvesting pattern adopted by the farmers corresponding to market trend (Mohan et al., 2019) [79].

Comparatively, low market value of Pangasius when compared to carps has increased avenues of fish consumption by low income consumers. Initially the market was limited to the Northeast states in India but expanded to Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Jharkhand and Madhya Pradesh and Maharashtra. Now, Ghazipur (New Delhi) has become one of the major markets for Pangasius trading in India. Frequent fluctuations in farm gate prices followed by severe collapse in market during 2009-2011 have totally restructured the Pangasius value chain. Feed manufacturers have started vertical integration by initiating Pangasius farming by themselves. Few feed manufacturers have promoted buy back and
marketing of Pangasius produced by their customer chain. This signaled the initiation of contract or quasi-contract farming contracts (Mugaonkar et al., 2017) [81] which were in vogue in other countries (Hasan et al., 2019) [82].

11. Presence of *P. hypophthalmus* in natural waters

Presence of few specimens of *P. hypophthalmus* has been recorded from the wild in Andhra Pradesh and wetlands in West Bengal. Benchmark surveys carried out in India have indicated the availability of *P. hypophthalmus* in natural waters (Singh and Lakra, 2012) [2]. Presence of similar chromosome number (2n=60) in *Pangasius pangasius*, a native species in India and *P. hypophthalmus* as well as overlapped spawning period may facilitate hybridization resulting in genetic mosaicism (Lakra and Singh, 2010) [48], introgression and genetic bottle necks (Pandit and Raul, 2019) [83] in case of establishment of latter in natural waters (Sarkar et al., 2017) [84]. This may not be difficult since cross breeding between *P. pangasius* and *P. hypophthalmus* was successfully demonstrated in Bangladesh (Khan and Mollah, 2004; Hossain et al., 2019) [85, 86]. Hence, present occurrence of *P. hypophthalmus* in natural waters warrants further studies with regard to the ecological conditions and its impact on native fish fauna (Laxmappa, 2016) [87]. Cage culture trials in Maharashtra (Ujni Dam), Jharkhand (Chandil) and Chattisgarh (Junka) established potentials of Pangasius cage culture (Mugaonkar et al., 2017) [81]. In spite of vast potentials, cage culture trials in reservoirs in Andhra Pradesh and Telangana were not encouraging (Kummari et al., 2018; Sarkar et al., 2017) [88, 84]. Although, *P. hypophthalmus* is a candidate species for cage culture in reservoirs in India (Bhendarkar et al., 2017; Kumar et al., 2015) [89, 90], requires thorough studies since, in India, the river ecosystems which are already invaded by exotic species (Jacob et al., 2020) [91] are subjected to severe stress (NFDB, 2016) [88] posing to habitat loss or degradation due to spectrum of reasons such as deforestation, construction of dams, water abstraction, low inflows and pollution from industrial, domestic and agricultural runoff (Hughes, 2017; Zeng et al., 2017) [93, 94].

12. *P. hypophthalmus* as a biological to control weed fish

Sucker mouth armoured catfish which has become great menace in carp culture ponds in Andhra Pradesh resulting in 20% drop down of fish production, did not exist in ponds where *Pangasianodon hypophthalmus* culture is going on (Giri et al., 2021) [95]. Although few studies have indicated that large predatory fish such as *Pangasius sanctwongsei* be useful for controlling sucker mouth armoured catfish (*Pierygoplichthys spp*) but was found to be ineffective as a predator on *Pierygoplichthys pardalis* that measure a length of 10 mm and above because of their relatively large pectoral and dorsal spines (Chaichana and Sirapat, 2012) [96].

![Fig 1: Pie chart showing percentage of respondents who participated in survey regarding Pangasius farming in Andhra Pradesh, India](image-url)
Fig 2: Line drawing showing Pangasius culture trend over the years in Andhra Pradesh, India

Fig 3: Line drawing showing Pangasius production figures over the years in Andhra Pradesh
Fig 4: Pie chart showing land holding of Pangasius farmers in Andhra Pradesh, India

Fig 5: Pie chart showing preference of farmers towards farming of various species in Andhra Pradesh, India.

Fig 6: Pie chart showing various diseases encountered by farmers in Pangasius farming in Andhra Pradesh, India.
Fig 7: Pie chart showing determinants of production cost in Pangasius farming in Andhra Pradesh, India

Fig 8: Histogram showing production cost and farm-gate price of Pangasius over the years in Andhra Pradesh, India

Fig 9: Line drawing showing profit and profit percent trend in Pangasius culture over the years in Andhra Pradesh, India.
13. Conclusion
Although Pangasius catfish has established itself as a potential species of diversification in Andhra Pradesh, lack of appropriate advisories, inadequate use of capital assets and improper methods to achieve higher fish yield besides, pond area, feed costs and inconsistent market have become major challenges in assuring sustainability. Pangasius farming in the state continues to be robust due to competitive strategies adopted by farmers in seed stocking, harvesting and marketing besides minimizing the use of inputs to reduce risk factors. Thus, Pangasius breeding and indigenous seed production assume greater concern in order to minimize dependency for importing seed from outside agencies. Despite the fact that pangasius farming has become an important component in freshwater aquaculture in Andhra Pradesh, it is interesting to record that demand for carp culture in Andhra Pradesh remains greater.
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