



International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies

E-ISSN: 2347-5129

P-ISSN: 2394-0506

(ICV-Poland) Impact Value: 5.62

(GIF) Impact Factor: 0.549

IJFAS 2020; 8(4): 39-41

© 2020 IJFAS

www.fisheriesjournal.com

Received: 14-05-2020

Accepted: 16-06-2020

Fitrie Meyllianawaty Pratiwy

Lecturer at Department of
Fisheries, Faculty of Fisheries
and Marine Science, Universitas
Padjadjaran, Jl. Raya
Sumedang, Sumedang, Indonesia

Dian Yuni Pratiwi

Researcher at Research Institute
of Freshwater Aquaculture, Jl.
Sempur, Bogor, Indonesia

The potentiality of microalgae as a source of DHA and EPA for aquaculture feed: A review

Fitrie Meyllianawaty Pratiwy and Dian Yuni Pratiwi

Abstract

Microalgae have the various function with positive health effects. For not only human beings, but also its potentiality has important roles for aquaculture system. Microalgae provide the essential fatty acids namely PUFAs. The long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) with two most important parts; Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and Eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) is well known for essential nutrition in aquaculture. This review was examined the DHA and EPA function, DHA and EPA contained in microalgae, and value-added microalgae as PUFA fed source in aquaculture. The future directions of microalgae-assisted aquaculture for industrial applications were suggested.

Keywords: Microalgae, Fatty acid, PUFA, EPA, DHA, Fish feed

Introduction

Microalgae are widely used in aquaculture; its function is related to nutrition, and the energy flow through the aquatic grazing food chain^[1]. Microalga have the important roles for aquatic animal nutrition, either for direct consumption or for indirect consumption such as a food for live prey, like planktons and small fish^[2]. Previous report showed that the growth performance of aquatic animals fed by mix algae species was greater than fed by only one species, it might be due to the small amount of nutrient contained in a single alga and the lack of nutrient can be covered from another alga^[3].

Microalgae are commonly used in aquaculture as natural feed sources for the first feeding process of fish larvae^[4]. In recent years, the potentiality of microalgae chemicals composition and its application in many cases of waste water treatment have been reviewed recently^[5, 6]. Besides that, it plays important role in water quality control, aquaculture feed production, and nutrients recovery, for the sustainable development of the aquaculture industry^[7]. This review focused the potentiality of microalgae as a valuable feed source with PUFA components such as DHA and EPA for aquaculture feed. Like human beings, fish attain DHA and EPA from their diet, basically from microalgae.

Microalgae as an additive feeding in aquaculture

The intensification of intensive aquaculture particularly depends on the supply and suitability of feed. Feed cost represents the highest and the most variable cost of fish production. In order to reduce feed costs, alternative resources from plant are considered as economically and environmentally friendly for feed ingredients. Nowadays, algae are receiving the high utilities in intensive aquaculture due to their high protein content and high production rate^[8]. It has been reported that algae containing an effective radical foragers, inhibitors of lipid peroxidation and enhancing the immune response and disease resistance^[9]. Several studies using microalgae such as *Spirulina sp.* were conducted with the following species- *O. niloticus*^[10], *Oncorhynchus mykiss*^[11]. Some species also utilized as live feeds for molluscs (oysters, scallops, clams and mussels), for first feeding of abalone, crustaceans, some fish species, and for grazing food chain in aquaculture^[12], *Isochrysis sp.*, *Pavlove lutheri*, *Chaetoceros calcitrans* are the common microalgae used in aquaculture feeding sources (Table 1).

Corresponding Author:

Fitrie Meyllianawaty Pratiwy

Lecturer at Department of
Fisheries, Faculty of Fisheries
and Marine Science, Universitas
Padjadjaran, Jl. Raya
Sumedang, Sumedang, Indonesia

Table 1: Microalgae commonly used in aquaculture for Molluscs, Crustacean larva, juvenile abalone, and zooplankton

Species	Molluscs	Crustacean larvae	Juvenile Abalone	Zooplankton
<i>Isochrysis</i> sp.	✓	✓		✓
<i>Pavlova lutheri</i>	✓	✓		✓
<i>Chaetoceros calcitrans</i>	✓	✓		✓
<i>C. Gracilis</i>	✓	✓		✓
<i>Skeletonema</i> spp.	✓	✓		
<i>Tetraselmis suecica</i>	✓	✓		✓
<i>Rhodomonas</i> spp.	✓			
<i>Pyramimonas</i> spp.	✓			
<i>Navicula</i> spp.	✓	✓	✓	
<i>Nitzschia</i> spp.		✓	✓	
<i>Cocconeis</i> spp.			✓	
<i>Amphora</i> spp.			✓	
<i>Nannochloropsis</i> spp.				✓

Adopted from Brown (2002)

DHA and EPA Function

DHA (Docosahexaenoic acid) and EPA (eicosapentaenoic acid) are Omega-3 [(n-3)] long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid which important for human health. DHA accumulate in the human brain and important for a membrane structure in the central nervous system^[13]. DHA has been proved that can improve memory and reaction time of young adults^[14], important for fetus brain development during pregnancy, increase infant problem solving^[15], increase visual acuity of infants and can affect neurological function^[16]. EPA also has important role for human health. EPA can use to prevent coronary heart disease, reduces platelet activation, an early step in platelet aggregation and reduced systolic blood pressure^[17]. Combination of EPA and DHA can and decreased incidence of asthma in the children^[18].

DHA and EPA in Microalgae

One feed source in aquaculture that contains DHA and EPA is microalgae. Some species of microalgae that contain EPA and DHA are *Spirulina platensis*, *Chlorella vulgaris*, *Stoeatula major*, *Chroomonas mesostigmatica*, *Guillardia theta*, *Hemiselms* sp, *Proteomonas sulcate*, *Rhodomonas salina*^[19]. The commercially produced microalgae, *Spirulina platensis* and *Chlorella vulgaris* are widely consumed by human. They can be used as alternative sources of DHA and EPA. DHA and EPA content in *Spirulina platensis* are 72,345 mg/g and

331.07 mg/g dry weight, while the DHA and EPA content in *Chlorella vulgaris* are 36.53 and 123.46 mg/g dry weight. The concentration of DHA and EPA in *Spirulina platensis* was higher than *Chlorella vulgaris*^[20].

EPA is rich in algae such as diatoms, *eustigmatophytes*, *prymnesiophytes*, and *cryptomonads*, besides that, DHA are usually limited to the *dinoflagellates* and some marine heterotrophs^[21].

Nutrition values of microalgae

The screening of nutritional values of microalgae is needed to support its function for aquaculture purpose. Therefore, the potential algal with recommendation could be examined. There are some algae species with great potential to be used for feeding in aquaculture based on its nutritional values. The nutritional value components in microalgae could be classified into several categories. First, microalgae with rich in protein and carbohydrate can be used to replace the conventional feed. Second, microalgae with rich of antioxidants can be used to enhance the immune system of aquatic animals. Third, some components play important roles in the growth of special fish such as DHA and EPA^[7]. Table 2. Listed the nutritional values for some potential microalgae for aquaculture feeding with containing DHA and EPA.

Table 2: The lipid contents and value-added compound of microalgae

Species	Lipid (%)	Value-added Compound	Reference
<i>Thraustochytrium</i> sp.	38.95	DHA and EPA (37.88% of total lipid)	[22]
<i>Chlorella zofingiensis</i>	30-50	PUFA (36.89-49.16% of fatty acid profile)	[23]
<i>Scenedesmus</i> sp.	33.4	EPA (15.89% of fatty acid profile)	[24]
<i>Chroomonas mesostigmatica</i>	N/A	DHA and EPA (15.8% of total lipid)	[19]
<i>Proteomonas sulcata</i>	N/A	DHA and EPA (20.4% of total lipid)	[19]
<i>Teleaulax acuta</i>	N/A	DHA and EPA (32.1% of total lipid)	[19]
<i>Teleaulax amphioxeia</i>	N/A	DHA and EPA (28.9% of total lipid)	[19]

Conclusion

Based on the value-added of microalgae such rich of DHA and EPA, this review shows the potentiality of microalgae as an aquaculture feed. In recent years, technologies have been widely developed to promote the application of microalgae-fed based aquaculture, include examination of beneficial effects of microalgae on aquatic animals. Even though microalgae are potentially very promising sources for aquaculture, the present challenge is to find an economically and commercially ways for mass production, and searching for the most suitable species/strains plays an important role in

aquaculture feeding.

References

- De Pauw N, Persoone G. Micro-algal biotechnology M, Borowitzka A, Borowitzka LJ. (Eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988, 197.
- Reitan KI, Rainuzzo JR, Øie G, Olsen Y. A review of nutritional effects of algae in marine fish larvae. Aquaculture. 1997; 155:207-21.
- Reitan KI, Rainuzzo JR, Øie G, Olsen Y. Nutritional effect of algal addition in first feeding of turbot

- (*Scophthalmus maximus*. L.). Aquaculture. 1993; 118:257-75.
4. Becker W. Handbook of Microalgal Culture, A. Richmond (Ed.), Blackwell Publishing, Ames, 2004, 380.
 5. Gao F *et al.* Continuous microalgae cultivation in aquaculture wastewater by a membrane photobioreactor for biomass production and nutrients removal. Ecology Engineering. 2016; 92:55-61.
 6. Ansari FA, Singh P, Guldhe A, Bux F. Microalgal cultivation using aquaculture wastewater: Integrated biomass generation and nutrient remediation. Algal Research. 2017; 21:169-177.
 7. Han P, Lu Q, Fan L, Zhou W. A Review on the Use of Microalgae for Sustainable Aquaculture. Applied Science. 2019; 9:2377.
 8. Roy S, Chaudhuri SA, Mukrejee S, Homechaudhuri S, Pal R. Composite algal supplementation in nutrition of *Oreochromis mossambicus*. Journal of Algal Biomass Utilization. 2011; 2:10-20.
 9. Immanuel G, Sivagnanavelmurugan M, Marudhupandi T, Radhakrishnan S, Palavesam A. The effect of fucoxanthin from brown seaweed *Sargassum wightii* on WSSV resistance and immune activity in shrimp *Penaeus monodon* (Fab). Fish and Shellfish Immunology. 2012; 32:551-564.
 10. Chow C, Woo N. Bioenergetic studies on an omnivorous fish, *Oreochromis mossambicus*: evaluation of utilization of Spirulina algae in feed. - In Hirano R, Hanyu I. (eds), The Second Asian Fisheries Forum. Manila, Asian Fisheries Society, 1990, 291-294.
 11. Sirakov I, Velichkova K, Nikolov G. The effect of algae meal (Spirulina) on the growth performance and carcass parameters of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Journal of Bioscience and Biotechnology SE, 2012, 151-156.
 12. Brown MR. Nutritional value of microalgae for aquaculture. In: Cruz-Suárez LE, Ricque-Marie D, Tapia Salazar M, Gaxiola-Cortés MG, Simoes N. (Eds.). Avances en Nutrición Acuícola VI. Memorias del VI Simposium Internacional de Nutrición Acuícola. 3 al 6 de Septiembre del 2002. Cancún, Quintana Roo, México, 2002.
 13. Lauritzen *et al.* Review DHA Effects in Brain Development and Function. Nutrients. 2016; 8(1):6. doi:10.3390/nu8010006
 14. Stonehouse *et al.* DHA supplementation improved both memory and reaction time in healthy young adults: a randomized controlled trial 1–3. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2013; 97:1134-1143.
 15. Braarud HC, Markhus MW, Skotheim S. Maternal DHA status during pregnancy has a positive impact on infant problem solving: A Norwegian prospective observation study. Nutrients. 2018; 10(5):529. 10.3390/nu10050529
 16. Rees A, Sirois S, Wearden A. Prenatal maternal docosahexaenoic acid intake and infant information process in gat 4.5 mo and 9 mo:A longitudinal study. *PLoS ONE*. 2019; 14(2):e0210984. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210984>
 17. Guo XF, Li KL, Li JM, Li D. Effects of EPA and DHA on blood pressure and inflammatory factors: A metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition. 2019; 59(20):3380-3393.
 18. Olsen, SF. Fish oil intake compared with olive oil intake in late pregnancy and asthma in the offspring: 16 y of registry-based follow-up from a randomized controlled trial. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2008; 88:167-75.
 19. Peltomaa ET, Aalto SL, Vuorio KM, Taipale Sami J. The Importance of Phytoplankton Biomolecule Availability for Secondary Production. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution. 2017; 5:128.
 20. I Raya, AM Anshar, E Mayasari, Z Dwiyana, M Asdar. *Chorella vulgaris* and *Spirulina platensis*: Concentration of protein, Docosahexaenoic Acid *Chorella* (DHA), Eicosapentaenoic Acid (EPA) and variation concentration of maltodextrin via microencapsulation method International Journal of Applied. Chemistry. 2016; 12(4):539-548.
 21. Lewis T, Nichols PD, McMeekin TA. Evaluation of extraction methods for recovery of fatty acids from lipid-producing microheterotrophs. Journal of Microbiology Methods. 2000; 43:107-116.
 22. Scott SD, Armenta RE, Berryman KT, Norman AW. Use of raw glycerol to produce oil rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids by a thraustochytrid. Journal of Enzyme Microbiology and Technology. 2011; 48:267-272.
 23. Liu J, Huang J, Jiang Y, Chen F. Molasses-based growth and production of oil and astaxanthin by *Chlorella zofingiensis*. Bioresource Technology. 2012; 107:393-398.
 24. Lu Q *et al.* Exploration of a mechanism for the production of highly unsaturated fatty acids in *Scenedesmus* sp. at low temperature grown on oil crop residue based medium. Bioresources Technology. 2017; 244:542-551.