



International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies

E-ISSN: 2347-5129
P-ISSN: 2394-0506
(ICV-Poland) Impact Value: 5.62
(GIF) Impact Factor: 0.549
IJFAS 2017; 5(3): 104-108
© 2017 IJFAS
www.fisheriesjournal.com
Received: 17-03-2017
Accepted: 18-04-2017

MM Nabi
Deputy Director, Bangabandhu
Academy for Poverty Alleviation
and Rural Development
(BAPARD), Kotalipara,
Gopalganj-8110

Md. Abdul Halim
Department of Aquaculture,
Bangladesh Agricultural
University, Mymensingh-2202,
Bangladesh

S Nahar
Director, Bangabandhu
Academy for Poverty Alleviation
and Rural Development
(BAPARD), Kotalipara,
Gopalganj-8110

Correspondence
Md. Abdul Halim
Department of Aquaculture,
Bangladesh Agricultural
University, Mymensingh-2202,
Bangladesh

Study on production performance and economic of mono-sex tilapia culture at marginal farmer's ponds in gopalganj Bangladesh

MM Nabi, Md. Abdul Halim and S Nahar

Abstract

The present study was carried to estimate the production performance and economics of mono-sex tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L) at marginal farmer's ponds in Kotalipara Upazilla under Gopalganj district, Bangladesh during June to September 2011. The experiment was setup in three treatments each with three replications. Supplementary feed was not given in T₁, but rice bran and mustard oil cake (3:1) were given in T₂ and commercial pellet floating feed in T₃. SGR (%) value (2.58) was recorded in T₃ and the lowest (0.93) in T₁. The survival rates were 90±.20, 90±.20 and 92±0.45 for T₁, T₂ and T₃ respectively. The FCR was 1.95±0.45 and 1.3±0.14 in T₂ and T₃ respectively. The highest production was 9998.56 kg/ha in T₃, followed by T₂ (4270.63 kg/ha) and T₁ (1356.03 kg/ha) respectively. The highest benefit or net return for T₃ Tk. 318069.31/ha and BCR of 1.41 followed by Tk. 115349.00/ha and BCR value of 1.32 in T₂ and Tk. 3845.79/ha and BCR value of 1.02 in T₁.

Keywords: Mono-sex tilapia, Marginal farmer ponds, supplemental feed, production, economics

1. Introduction

The name, "Tilapia" was derived from an African Bushman word simply meaning fish. Fishes belonging to the family Cichlidae is referred to as Tilapias [29]. There are about 100 species; most of them are native to Western African Rivers [2]. Of these, only *Tilapia* (*Tilapia zillii* and *T. rendak*) and *Oreochromis* (*O. niloticus*, *O. mossambicus* and *O. aureus*) species are in widespread use [15]. Due to their small size and slow growth rate, *T. zillii* and *T. rendali* are rarely cultured but are often used to control weeds in irrigation canals, mostly in some African and Latin American countries. Among *Oreochromis* species *O. niloticus* is familiar for its tolerance to saline and cold water conditions. They have been introduced into a large number of tropical and subtropical countries around the world since the 1960 [26]. Tilapia was first introduced in Bangladesh in 1954. About 80 species of tilapia have been described out of which 10 species are reported to be used for culture [24]. Tilapias have distributed to so many different types of water, to so many different types of culture systems in the world that they have been even labeled as the "aquatic chicken" [23]. They have good resistance to poor water quality and disease, tolerance of a wide range of environmental conditions, ability to convert efficiently the organic and domestic waste into high quality protein rapid growth rate and tasty flavor [4]. The existing strain Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.) was first introduced into this country by the United Nations International Children Emergency Fund (UNICEF) in 1974 and later by the Bangladesh Fisheries Research Institute (BFRI) from Thailand [14]. Farmed tilapia productions in 2010 exceeded 3.2 million metric tons per annum, surging further ahead of the salmon and catfish industries [10]. Production of tilapia, for home or local consumption and for export, has risen tremendously in the last few decades. The tonnage of worldwide tilapia production (in 2010, about 3 million tons) is second, among fish, only behind to carps. Global production of tilapia was estimated to be 2.5 billion US\$ in 2010 [3]. In view of the increasing commercialization and continuing growth of the tilapia industry, the commodity is not only the second most important farmed fish globally, next to carps, but is also described as the most important aquaculture species of the 21st century [28]. The fish is being farmed in about 85 countries worldwide, and about 98% of tilapia produced in these countries is grown outside their original habitats [9]. In INFOFISH Tilapia 2010 Conference it was forecasted that the world's total tilapia production would reach 3.70 million tones by the end 2010.

The main culture industries are in the Far East but tilapia are increasingly being farmed in the Caribbean, Latin America and recently, in temperate countries where warm water through artificial means (thermal effluents or geothermal springs) are also available

Supplementary feed is the most for fish culture to get high production. Feed cost generally constitutes the highest single operating cost in semi intensive farming operation [27]. Increased natural food produced in fish ponds through fertilization reduces the use of supplementary feeds that ultimately reduces the feed costs. In Bangladesh some relatively cheap indigenous agricultural by-products, such as, rice bran, wheat bran, mustard oilcake are being used for aquaculture. For proper growth of fish, animal protein supplement, growth promoting vitamins and micronutrients are essential. In recent years pelleted (floating) commercial fish feeds have been marketed by different industries. These feeds are mainly used by medium to large farmers in commercial fish farms. For marginal resource poor farmers it is important to improve the traditional fish culture system. Mono-sex tilapia is omnivorous and its production can be increased through partial feed supplementation. The present study was conducted to see the production performance and economics of mono-sex tilapia culture under different feeding strategies in marginal farmer ponds.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Experimental site and pond facilities

The experiment was carried out for a period of 120 days from June to September 2011, in nine experimental ponds have been located in nine villages in Kotalipara Upazilla under Gopalganj district. The ponds were same in size (30±0.15 dec.) and similar in shape and depth.

2.2 Pond preparation

The ponds were drained out completely and aquatic weeds were removed manually. Liming was done in all ponds at the rate of 1 kg/decimal. Four days after liming the ponds were manured with cow dung at the rate of 2 kg/decimal. One week after liming the ponds were filled with water and fertilized with urea and TSP at the rate of 100 gm/decimal and 50 gm/decimal respectively. TSP was soaked overnight, then urea and TSP were dissolved together and spread manually on pond water surface at sunny day (10-11 am).

2.3 Collection of experimental fish and stocking

All the tilapia fingerlings with mean initial weight of 10 ± 0.25 gm were collected from Genetic hatchery, Avaynagar Jessore and were stocked at a rate of 200/dec (49400/ha).

2.4 Experimental design

The experiment was carried out with three treatments (T₁, T₂ and T₃) each with three replications.

2.5 Feeding

Fertilization was done weekly in the ponds of all treatments at the same rate (cow dung, 2 kg/dec; urea, 100g /dec and TSP, 50 g/dec). Supplemental feeds were given in treatment-2 (T₂) (rice bran: mustard oilcake; 3:1) and in treatment-3 (T₃) (commercial pellet floating feed Nourish containing 30% protein). The feed was applied at the rate of 10% of the body weight of fishes at the beginning of the experiment, then it was reduced to 7% after one month and 3% after two months. Feed was applied twice a day, half in the morning (9.00 am)

and the rest in the afternoon (4.00 pm). The supplementary feeds, such as rice bran and mustard oilcake were given to the fish in dough form. For this purpose, mustard oilcake was soaked overnight, mixed with rice bran and small balls were made. The feeds were gently thrown over the ponds water on a particular site of the ponds regularly.

2.6 Sampling

Five percent of the total fish were sampled fortnightly by a cast net to monitor the fish growth and to adjust feeding rates. The weight of fish during sampling was measured by using a portable digital balance.

2.7 Water quality parameters

The water quality parameters such as air temperature, water temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), water pH, soil pH, ammonia, transparency and total alkalinity were recorded fortnightly. The temperature and dissolved oxygen of the ponds were determined by a DO meter. Secchi disc visibility was measured using a Secchi disc at the time of water sampling. The water pH was recorded by a pH meter.

2.8 Statistical analysis

Computer analysis of the data was done by using MS excel, SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Science) version-20. Significance was assigned at 0.05% level.

2.9 Economic analysis

An economic analysis was carried to estimate the net profit from different treatments. The analysis was based on local market prices for harvested fish and all other items. The costs of fingerlings, fertilizer and supplemental feeds are shown in Table 3. The cost of leasing ponds was not included in the total cost. An additional 7.5% on total cost was included as operational cost [1]. The net return was measured by deducting the gross cost from the gross return per decimal. The benefit cost ratio was also measured as a ratio of net benefit to gross cost.

3. Results

3.1 Water quality parameters

The water quality parameters measured during the experimental period is presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Water quality parameters during the present study.

| Parameters | Treatments | | |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | T ₁ | T ₂ | T ₃ |
| Air temperature (°C) | 30.1±2.15 | 30.1±1.45 | 31±2.82 |
| Water temperature (°C) | 29.25±1.40 | 29.25±1.00 | 29±1.41 |
| Water pH | 8.50±0.25 | 8.45±0.20 | 7.70±0.25 |
| Soil pH | 6.10±0.70 | 6.6±0.07 | 5.75±0.07 |
| DO (ml/L) | 4.90±0.60 | 4.95±0.38 | 3.85±0.21 |
| Ammonia | 00 | 0.25±0.10 | 0.50±0.25 |
| Transparency | 30±0.45 | 29±0.25 | 27.5±0.34 |
| Total alkalinity | 200.5±1.41 | 201.5±1.35 | 205±7.51 |

3.2 Growth and production performances

The growth performance of mono-sex tilapia in terms of initial weight, final weight, weight gain, % of weight gain, specific growth rate, feed conversion ratio, survival rate and total production is shown in Table 2. Mean weight gain of Mono-sex tilapia in different treatments were ranged between 30.5±3.72 and 220±1.13 g and there was a significant variation ($p<0.05$) among the treatments (Table 2). The mean

weight gain was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) in T_3 (220 ± 1.13 g) than in T_1 (30.5 ± 3.72 g) and T_2 (95 ± 2.60 g). Significantly highest ($p < 0.05$) SGR value (2.58) was recorded in T_3 and the lowest (0.93) in T_1 (Table 2). The FCR was 1.95 ± 0.45 and 1.3 ± 0.14 in T_2 and T_3 , respectively. The survival rate ranged between 90 to 92% and there was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) among the treatments. The production of tilapia in terms of kg/ha/4 months was highest (9998.56 kg) in T_3 , followed by T_2 (4270.63 kg) and T_1 (1356.03 kg) and they were significantly ($p < 0.05$) different (Table 2). A simple economic analysis showed that T_3 generated the highest benefit or net return of Tk. 318069.31/ha/4 months and Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) of 1.41 followed by Tk. 115349.00/ha/4 months and BCR value of 1.32 in T_2 and Tk. 3845.79/ha/4 months and BCR value of 1.02 in T_1 (Table 3).

Table 2: Growth and production of mono-sex tilapia were observed in different treatments during the experimental period.

| Parameters | Treatments | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | T ₁ | T ₂ | T ₃ |
| Ponds area (Dec.) | 30±0.15 | 30±0.15 | 30±0.15 |
| Stocking densities (No./dec.) | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Initial weight (gm) | 10±0.25 | 10±0.25 | 10±0.25 |
| Culture duration (days) | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Final weight (gm) | 30.5±3.72 | 95±2.60 | 220±1.13 |
| Weight gain (gm) | 20.50±3.47 | 85±2.05 | 210±0.88 |
| % Weight gain | 205 | 850 | 2100 |
| FCR | 00 | 1.95±0.45 | 1.3±0.14 |
| SGR (%) | 0.93 | 1.88 | 2.58 |
| Survival rate (%) | 90±.20 | 91±0.58 | 92±0.45 |
| Production (Kg/Dec) | 5.49 | 17.29 | 40.48 |
| Production (Kg/ha) | 1356.03 | 4270.63 | 9998.56 |

Table 3: Economic analysis of Mono-sex tilapia (*O. niloticus*) production in ponds reared for 4 months.

| Components | Treatments | | |
|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | T ₁ | T ₂ | T ₃ |
| Expenditure (Tk/dec.) | | | |
| Fingerlings cost | 400.00 | 400.00 | 400.00 |
| Feed cost | -- | 758.60 | 2368.08 |
| Lime cost (15 Tk/kg) | 41.25 | 41.25 | 41.25 |
| Cow dung | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
| Urea | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
| TSP | 45.00 | 45.00 | 45.00 |
| Medicine | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 |
| Operational cost | 43.22 | 100.11 | 220.82 |
| Total expenditures (Tk/dec.) | 619.47 | 1434.96 | 3165.07 |
| Income | | | |
| Gross return (Tk/dec.) | 603.90 | 1901.90 | 4452.80 |
| Net return (Tk/dec.) | 15.57 | 467 | 1287.73 |
| Net return (Tk/ha) | 3845.79 | 115349.00 | 318069.31 |
| BCR (Benefit Cost Ratio) | 1.02 | 1.32 | 1.41 |

Sale price of tilapia = Taka 110.00/ kg.

Ready feed= Taka 22.50/ kg.

Commercial feed= Taka 45.00/ kg.

Leasing cost for pond is not included.

Operational cost is considered as 7.5% of total cost [1].

4. Discussion

The rearing experiment of mono-sex tilapia was conducted during June to September when the environmental temperature was very suitable for aquaculture. The surface water temperature of the ponds ranged from 29.25 ± 1.40 to 29 ± 1.41 °C. The conditions are highly suited for raising tilapia between April and October when temperature varies from 25

°C to 35 °C [18]. However, the temperature ranges from 28 °C to 30 °C are optimum for maximum growth of (*Oreochromis niloticus*) [8]. In the present study dissolved oxygen concentration ranged from 3.85 ± 0.21 to 4.90 ± 0.60 mg/l. The favorable range of DO is 4 to 8 mg/L for fish culture [5, 25]. The pH of water in the experimental ponds ranged between 7.70 ± 0.25 to 8.50 ± 0.25 which is considered suitable as suggested by some other authors [7, 22]. Transparency ranged from 27 to 30 cm and the mean values were 30 ± 0.45 , 29 ± 0.25 and 27.5 ± 0.34 cm in T_1 , T_2 and T_3 respectively. The variation in transparency was due to plankton production and rain induced turbidity. Similar transparency values were recorded by [21, 30].

In the present study, mean weight gain of mono-sex tilapia were 20.50 ± 3.47 g, 85 ± 2.05 g and 210 ± 0.88 g and percent weight gain were 205, 850 and 2100 in T_1 , T_2 , T_3 respectively. From the study, it is observed that mean weight gain and percent weight gain were significantly higher in T_3 than T_2 and T_1 . This is due to utilization of natural foods in the ponds as well as the use of supplementary pelleted floating feed. Lower value of mean weight gain and percent weight gain in T_1 is due to no use of any supplementary feed. About 128 g weight gain of GIFT strain in on-farm ponds for a culture period of 6 months fed rice bran at 5-6% of their body weight [16]. Considering the 3 months culture period in the present study compared to 6 months period by [16], tilapia in the present study performed better. This difference in the growth might be related to feed & fertilizer supplied in the ponds and the time/ season of the experiment. In the present study SGR value of mono-sex tilapia was 0.93%, 1.88% and 2.58% in T_1 , T_2 and T_3 respectively. The highest SGR value in T_3 is due to high protein and energy content of the diet compared to other treatments. The higher SGR value obtained 2.03 for tilapia in Honduras using feed and fertilizer [11]. It was observed SGR values of GIFT tilapia ranged from 2.04 to 2.30 fed on formulated diet [17]. The FCR values found in T_2 and T_3 were 1.95 ± 0.45 and 1.3 ± 0.14 respectively. The FCR value of tilapia fed rice bran and commercial tilapia feed as 2.07 and 1.84 [19]. The variation in FCR values might be due to difference in quality of food given. The survival rate of the fishes was high and ranged between 90% and 92%. Similar higher survival of GIFT tilapia was recorded by [17]. The total production of fishes was 1356.03, 4270.63 and 9998.56 kg/ha in T_1 , T_2 and T_3 respectively, and varied significantly among the treatments more or less similar [20]. The highest production found in T_3 might be due to high protein quality supplementary pelleted (floating) feed and large quantity of natural food available in the pond during the study period, and this production is more or less similar to the findings [17, 19]. The feeding plus fertilization can increase fish production over that possible with fertilization alone [6]. The tilapia production were 3554.76 kg/ha in treatment receiving supplementary feed than 1510.71 kg/ha in fertilized ponds for 6 months [13]. The yields were ranged from 1274 to 2929 kg/ha/145 days [12]. The difference in total production found by different authors might be due to the variation in productivity of the ponds, variation of rearing season and cultural periods along with other factors. The net return and benefit cost ratio was higher in T_3 where pellet (floating) feed was used. Possibly the feed (rice bran + oil cake) given in T_2 could not fulfil the nutritional requirement of the fishes in that treatment as a result the fish production, net income and benefit cost ratio was lower. As no food was given in T_1 so the production was very low in that treatment.

5. Conclusion

The research study demonstrated that production performance of mono-sex tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) depends on good environmental parameters and feed management. During the study it was found that feeding directly effects on the growth performance of mono-sex tilapia. In the whole study period all water quality parameters were observed and feed management was properly maintained. The present research findings revealed that pellet (floating) feed having nutritional requirements is good for getting high production and net income.

6. Acknowledgement

The authors express their sincere thanks to the fish farmers in Kotalipara Upazilla Shammoby Samithi and Upazilla Fisheries Officer for providing valuable information to accomplish this study successfully.

7. References

- ADCP. Fish Feeds and Feeding in Developing Countries. Aquaculture development and Co-Ordination Programme. ADCP/ REP/ 83/ 18. FAO. 1983, 97.
- Anon. Introducing the Tilapia. ICLARM Newsletter. 1984; 7(1):3.
- Avnimelech Y. Tilapia Production Using Biofloc Technology (BFT). Proceedings of the Ninth International Symposium on Tilapia in Aquaculture. Shanghai Ocean University, Shanghai, China, 2011, 22-24.
- Balarin JD, Halle RD. The Intensive Culture of Tilapia in Tanks, Raceways and Cages. In: Recent Advances in Aquaculture, J. F. Muir and J. J. Roberts (Eds.). Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado. 1982, 265-365.
- Banerjee RK. Soil and Water Chemistry of Brackish Water Ponds. In: Training in Brackish Water Pond and Fish Farming, Held at Kekdwip Research Center, 1 August-30 September. 1978, 1-7.
- Boyd CE. Water Quality Management for Pond Fish Culture. Elsevier Science Publisher, the Netherlands. 1982, 318.
- DoF Matsha Pakkah Shankalan. Directorate of Fisheries, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka, Bangladesh. 1996, 81.
- Eknath AE. Growth Capacity of Tilapia in Intensive Culture. Bamidgheh. 1992; 32(3):57-65.
- FAO. Fishery Statistics. Aquaculture Production. 2002; 90(2).
- Fitzsimmons K, Martinez GR, Gonzalez AP. Why Tilapia Is Becoming the Most Important Food Fish on the Planet. Proceedings of the Ninth International Symposium on Tilapia in Aquaculture. Shanghai Ocean University, Shanghai, China. 2011, 22-24.
- Green BW. Substitution of Organic Manure for Pelleted Feed in Tilapia Production. Aquaculture. 1992; 101(3-4):213-222.
- Green BW, Nagdy EL, Hebicha H. Evaluation of Nile Tilapia Pond Management Strategies in Egypt. Aquaculture Research. 2002; 33(13):1037-1048.
- Gupta MV, Akhteruzzaman M, Kohinoor AHM, Shah MS. Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) Culture under Different Feeding and Fertilization Regimes, P. 500-504. In: R. S. V. Pullin, J. Lazard, M. Legendre, J. B. Amon Kothias, And Dnpauly (Eds.). The Third International Symposium on Tilapia in Aquaculture. ICLARM Conf. Proc. 1991; 41:575.
- Gupta MV, Ahmed MM, Bimbao A, Lightfoot C. Socio-Economic Impact and Farmers Assessment of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) Culture in Bangladesh. ICLARM Technical Report No. 35, International Center For Living Aquatic Resources Management, Manila, Philippines. 1992, 50.
- Hapher B, Pruginin Y. Tilapia Culture in Ponds under Controlled Conditions. In R.S.V. Pullin and R.H. Lowe-McConnell (Eds.). The Biology and Culture of Tilapias. ICLARM Conference Proceedings 7. International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management, Manila. Philippines, 1982, 185-203.
- Hossain MG, Kohinoor AHM, Islam MS, Mahata SC, Ali MZ, Tanu MB *et al.* Genetic Evaluation of GIFT and Existing Strains of Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* L., Under On-Station and On-Farm Conditions in Bangladesh. Asian Fisheries Science. 2000; 13:117-126.
- Hossain MA, Roy R, Rahmatullah SM, Kohinoor AHM. Effect of Stocking Density on the Growth and Survival of GIFT Tilapia, (*Oreochromis niloticus*) Fed on Formulated Diet. Journal of Agriculture. Rural Development. 2004; 2(1):127-133.
- Hossain MA, Hossain AA, Sultana N. Over-Wintering Growth of Normal and Mono-sex GIFT Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) in Bangladesh Fed on Formulated Diet. J. Aqua. Trop. 2005; 20(4):291-306.
- Hossain MS. Evaluation of Rice Bran and Wheat Bran as A Supplemental Feed Compared to A Commercial Feed for the Monoculture of GIFT Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) In Ponds MS Thesis, Department of Aquaculture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh. 2007.
- Khan S, Hossain MS, Hossain MM. Production and Economics of GIFT Strain of Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) in Small Seasonal Ponds. Progressive Agriculture. 2008; 19(1):97-104.
- Latif MA, Ali MM, Islam MA. A Comparative Physico-Chemical Study of a Well Manage Fish pond and a Derelict Pond. Bangladesh Journal of Aquaculture. 1986; 6-7(1):71-78.
- Michael RG. Seasonal Trends in Physico-Chemical Factors and Plankton of a Fresh Water Fish Pond and Their Role in Fish Culture. Hydrobiology. 1969; 33(1):144-160.
- Maclean JL. Tilapia the Aquatic Chicken ICLARM Newsletter. 1984, 12-17.
- Macintosh DJ, Little DC. Brood Stock Management and Fry Production of the Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). In: N.R. Bromage and R.J. Roberts, (Eds.). Brood Fish Management and Egg and Larval Quality. Blackwell Science, Oxford. 1995, 277-320.
- Pakrasi BB. Ecology of Brackish Water Pond. In. Training in Brackish Water Prawn and Fish Farming. Held in Kaddwip, Research Center. 01 August- 30 September, 1978, 13-16.
- Pillay TVR. Aquaculture, Principles and Release and Dissemination of Improved Nile Tilapia Practices. Fishing News Books, England, 1991.
- Shang YC, Costa-Pierce BA. Integrated Agriculture Aquaculture Farming System Some Economic Aspects. Journal of World Mariculture Society. 1983; 14:523-530.
- Shelton WL. Tilapia Culture in the 21st Century P. 1-20. In the Proceedings of the International Forum of Tilapia

Farming in the 21st Century (Tilapia Forum 2002),
Gurrero RD III, Guerrero-Del Castillo MR (Eds.)
Philippine Fisheries Association Inc. Los Bonos, Laguna,
Philippines, 2002, 184.

29. Steehu G. Freshwater Fishes of the World Vista Books,
London, 1962.
30. Wahab MA, Islam MT, Ahmed ZF, Hoq MS, Haque MA,
Biswas BK. Effect of Frequency of Fertilization on the
Pond Ecology and Growth of Fishes. BAU Research
Progress.1995; 9:410-419.