



International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies

ISSN: 2347-5129
IJFAS 2014; 1(5): 80-83 ©
2013 IJFAS
www.fisheriesjournal.com
Received: 03-03-2014
Accepted: 05-04-2014

Zannatul Ferdous
Department of Aquaculture,
Bangladesh Agricultural University,
Mymensingh-2202.

Nazmun Nahar
Department of Aquaculture,
Bangladesh Agricultural University,
Mymensingh-2202

Md. Shafaet Hossen
Department of Fisheries Biology and
Genetics, Bangladesh Agricultural
University, Mymensingh-2202

Kanij Rukshana Sumi
Department of Aquaculture,
Patuakhali Science and Technology
University, Patuakhali, Bangladesh.

Md. Mohsin Ali
Department of Aquaculture,
Bangladesh Agricultural University,
Mymensingh-2202

Correspondence:
Zannatul Ferdous
Department of Aquaculture,
Bangladesh Agricultural University,
Mymensingh-2202.
Email: zferdous58@yahoo.com

Performance of Different Feeding Frequency on Growth Indices and Survival of Monosex Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (Teleostei: Cichlidae) Fry

Zannatul Ferdous, Nazmun Nahar, Md. Shafaet Hossen, Kanij Rukshana Sumi, Md. Mohsin Ali

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted for twenty eight days to evaluate the efficacy of feeding frequency on growth performance and survival of monosex tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fry. A total of 13,500 fry of three-days-old (weight 0.018 ± 0.04 g) were stocked in nine *hapa* ($1 \times 1 \times 1$ m³) at the rate of 1500 fry/*hapa*. The *hapas* were divided into three treatments on the basis of feeding frequency viz. 3 (T₁), 4 (T₂) and 5 (T₃) times per day respectively, having three replications. Fry were fed with 17 α -methyltestosterone (MT) hormone mixed feed initially at the rate of 25% of their body weight and the rate was gradually reduced by 10% up to the final week. The water quality parameters were monitored found to be within suitable range for proper growth of *O. niloticus*. After ending the trial period, significant variations ($P < 0.01$) were observed in three treatments and T₃ showed the best performance in case of all parameters studied such as weight gain (WG), feed conversion ratio (FCR) and specific growth rate (SGR). The survival rates were estimated highest (95.66%) in T₃ compared to the rest two treatments (T₁ and T₂). The results revealed that feeding frequency had a positive effect on growth indices and the survival rate of *O. niloticus* fry.

Keywords: Feeding frequency, Monosex tilapia, Growth, Survival

1. Introduction

Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* is likely to be the most important food fish and commonly cultured species in the 21st century throughout the world [19]. The use of the male monosex population is pre-requisite for commercial tilapia production as male tilapia grows approximately 30% more than females. Administration of androgen hormone (17 α -methyl testosterone) is an effective and economically feasible method for obtaining all male tilapia populations [8].

Thus, it is important to consider the factors that influence its production such as feed type, ration size, various feeding frequencies and how they may influence on growth and feed utilization. Feeding frequency is important to ensure a maximal food conversion ratio and weight of cultured organisms [5]. Higher feeding frequencies decrease aggressive behavior may resulting the faster growth and uniformity in size. Moreover, feeding frequency can affect growth performance, survival, body composition [28] and water quality [27].

Furthermore, as we know the feed cost is one of the largest operational costs in the aquaculture industry [6]. An important approach for reducing feed costs in commercial aquaculture is to develop proper feed management, husbandry strategies [13] and efficient broadcasting of the predetermined ration to the culture system. Hence, the act of feeding may be pointed as one of the most vital element in the culture practice.

Several authors had already studied the influence of feeding frequency on growth performance for various species [10, 16]. However, the effect of feeding frequency on growth performance of monosex tilapia reared in *hapas* is yet quiet limited. Therefore, the present research work was carried out with an aim to find a suitable feeding frequency for optimal growth and survival during rearing of *O. niloticus* fry.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Experimental design and rearing of *O. niloticus* Fry

This study was carried out in a private hatchery called "Reliance Aqua Farms", Trishal,

Mymensingh from 22 July to 18 August, 2013. A total of 13,500 hatchery produced fry having initial size (0.018 ± 0.04 g) were distributed in nine experimental *hapas* ($1 \times 1 \times 1$ m³) at a density of 1500 fry/*hapa*. All *hapas* were newly constructed before the start of the experiment and setup in a pond. *Hapas* were rectangular of synthetic netting of mesh size 1.5 mm closed from all sides except the top. The synthetic net was fitted with a bamboo pole by using rope and metallic wire. After that, *hapas* were divided into three treatments on the basis of feeding frequency, such as 3 (T₁), 4 (T₂) and 5 (T₃) times per day having three replications. Fry were provided hormone (17 α -methyltestosterone) mixed formulated feed following the method of [7] for a period of 28 days. Then prepared diets were air dried at room temperature and stored for further application in a plastic container after sealing polythene bag. During the rearing period, in case of T₁ (8:00, 12:00 and 16:00 hours) feed was provided three times per day, T₂ Four times per day (8:00, 11:00, 14:00 and 17:00 hours) and T₃ Five times per day (8:00, 10:00, 12:00, 14:00 and 16:00 hours) initially 25% of their body weight and reduced gradually at the rate of 10% of their body weight up to the final week. Proximate composition of fry diet was determined following the standard methods as given by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists, [2]. During the experimental period, water temperature by using a Celsius thermometer, pH with a portable digital pH meter (MICRO-TEMP, pH 500) and dissolved oxygen (DO) by a digital DO meter (multi 340 i/set, DO-5509; Germany) were recorded as 26.5 ± 1 °C, 7.5 ± 0.2 and 6.8 ± 0.4 ppm, respectively.

2.2 Performance evaluation of *O. niloticus* fry

Periodic sampling of fry was done to assess the growth and health status of fry every after seven days. Fry were caught by using a scoop net with proper care and kept in a bowl with water. Weight was taken by digital electric balance (AND GULF, Dubai, U.A.E., model: GL-300). Then, fry were released in the respective *hapas*. Sampling was done early in

the morning when the fish's stomach was about to empty, to avoid the biasness of weight due to the presence of excessive feed. Additionally, the mean weight gain (g), specific growth rate (SGR), feed conversion ratio (FCR) and survival of fry were recorded at the time of final harvesting and growth parameters were calculated as:

$$\text{Weight gain (g)} = \text{Mean final weight} - \text{Mean initial weight}$$

$$\% \text{ Weight gain} = \frac{\text{Mean final weight} - \text{Mean initial weight}}{\text{Mean initial weight}} \times 100$$

$$\text{SGR (\%/day)} = \frac{\ln \text{ final weight} - \ln \text{ initial weight}}{\text{Number of experimental days}} \times 100 \quad [4]$$

$$\text{FCR} = \frac{\text{Feed fed (dry weight)}}{\text{Live weight gain}} \quad [9]$$

$$\text{Survival (\%)} = \text{No. of fish harvested} / \text{No. of fish stocked} \times 100$$

2.3 Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the statistical software SPSS. Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was conducted to determine specific differences in treatment means. Differences were considered statistically significant at $P < 0.01$.

3. Results

3.1 Composition analysis of diet

Proximate composition analysis of the test diet was made to verify the accuracy of the formulation. The protein content of the fry diet was 27.94 % and the overall results are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Proximate composition of feed used in experiment.

Name of the major composition	Amount of composition (%)
Moisture	12.14
Protein	27.94
Crude fiber	5.23
Lipid	7.95
Ash	15.38
*NFE (Nitrogen free extract)	31.36

$$*NFE = 100\% - (\text{moisture} + \text{protein} + \text{lipid} + \text{Crude fiber} + \text{ash})$$

3.2 Effects of feeding frequency on growth and survival of *O. niloticus* fry

At the expiration of 28 days feeding trial, the mean final weight gain of the fry was recorded as 0.21 ± 0.03 g, 0.26 ± 0.07 g, and 0.36 ± 0.12 g for T₁, T₂ and T₃ respectively. The growth indices of fry were varied significantly ($P < 0.01$) among the different treated groups and the values for percent weight gain, SGR, FCR and survival during the study period are shown in

Table 2. The uppermost performances were observed among all the parameters studied when fry received 5 meals per day (T₃) and was significantly different ($P < 0.01$) from all other treatments (T₁ and T₂). In the current study, we found the values of FCR were decreasing in accordance with the increasing feeding frequencies and the best (lowest) FCR was recorded in T₃ (1.21 ± 0.04).

Table 2: Growth performance (weight gain, percent weight gain, survival and specific growth rate) of *O. niloticus* fry during 28 days.

Treatment	Replication	Weight gain (g)	Weight gain (%)	SGR (% day ⁻¹)	FCR	Survival (%)
T ₁ (3 times per day)	R1	0.23	1288.88	9.39	1.67	81
	R2	0.19	1066.66	8.77	1.64	79
	R3	0.21	1177.77	9.09	1.64	81
	Mean	0.21±0.03 ^c	1177.77±34.28 ^c	9.08±0.13 ^c	1.65±0.09 ^c	80.33±2.49 ^c
T ₂ (4 times per day)	R1	0.24	1344.44	9.54	1.48	85
	R2	0.26	1455.55	9.80	1.51	87
	R3	0.28	1566.66	10.05	1.54	89
	Mean	0.26±0.07 ^b	1455.55±39.67 ^b	9.79±0.17 ^b	1.51±0.08 ^b	89±2.68 ^b
T ₃ (5 times per day)	R1	0.32	1788.88	10.49	1.22	93
	R2	0.37	2066.66	10.98	1.19	93
	R3	0.39	2177.77	11.16	1.22	95
	Mean	0.36±0.12 ^a	2011.10±78.56 ^a	10.88±0.31 ^a	1.21±0.04 ^a	93.66±2.89 ^a

Mean values in the column with different superscripts are significantly different

4. Discussion

Feeding frequency is one of the most important considerations in aquaculture practice that can affect overall growth, survival as well as habitat of fish. Again, the optimization of feeding frequency is considered as a significant factor as profit is the main motivating reason in fish culture. Proximate composition of the formulated diet was analyzed to verify the accuracy of the formulation. The protein content of the supplied diet was 27.94% that consistent with the findings of [20] who recommended that, the optimum protein requirement for growth of Nile tilapia is 25-35%.

Although, the amount of feed application was differ according to treatments, but same formulated diet was provided throughout the experimental period because the main purpose of this experiment was to evaluate the effectiveness of feeding frequency on growth performance of *O. niloticus* fry.

In our study, we found feeding frequency not only improved the growth indices, but also had a great impact on survival of *O. niloticus* fry. Fry that were fed at higher feeding frequencies (T₃) showed maximum performances in terms of weight gain, SGR and FCR. Our results are clearly supported by the findings of [16] who stated that, increasing the frequency of feeding in tilapia fry positively correlated with better fish growth performance. Again, [14] reported that weight gain, SGR and FCR of *O. mossambicus* fry are significantly affected by feeding frequency. High weight gain and specific growth rate at higher feeding frequencies have also been reported for red tilapia hybrid fry [22] and juvenile *O. niloticus* [18]. [21] suggested that the manual feeding frequency several times per day is the most appropriate for intensive grown tilapia. [24] also confirmed that weight gain of *O. niloticus* increased with increasing feeding frequency. Similar trends have also been observed for other fish species such as the red spotted grouper [11], hybrid sunfish [26], *Clarias gariepinus x Heterobranchus longifilis* hybrids [15], common carps [12] and in rainbow trout [25].

With regards to FCR, the lowest values (1.21) were recorded in *O. niloticus* fry fed with five times (T₃) per day followed by four (T₂) and thrice per (T₁) day respectively. This might indicate that *O. niloticus* fry fed more frequently and utilize the formulated diet efficiently than fish fed less frequently. According to [16], reducing feeding frequency to twice or thrice per day, considerably decrease growth and increase food conversion in Nile tilapia fry reared in earthen pond. Our data also concurrent with the results of [1] they recorded lowest FCR value (1.65) for monosex tilapia fry at higher feeding frequencies. Better FCR value at higher feeding frequencies were also reported for red hybrid tilapia fry [22]. The FCR values of the present study are lower than the FCR values reported by several biologists in case of different fishes. The causes might be due to the feed type, smaller ration size and proper utilization of diet. Therefore, daily feeding frequency is a useful tool particularly when the balance between maximum growth and optimal food conversion is aimed.

As we know, food availability and size variation are considered the primary causes of fish mortality. In our present work, poor survival of *O. niloticus* fry was observed in T₁ and the highest was found in T₃. [14, 23] reported a lower survival rate of *O. niloticus* fry for the lowest feeding frequency. Additionally [1] in an 40 days rearing period, obtained decidedly higher survival rates with monosex tilapia fry that were fed twice daily than with those that were fed once daily. The water quality parameters determined during the study period was within the acceptable range for tilapia culture [3, 17]. In fine, increased the no. of feeding frequency resulted in a better food accessibility, reduced feed competition to avoid stress of fry (that is the main factor for growth stunted) and leading to a better growth performance. A feeding frequency of 5 times a day compared to other treatments in this study is suggested to be optimum for achieving utmost growth, feed conversion efficiency and higher survival rates among *O. niloticus* fry.

5. References

1. Ahsan ME, Haque MR, Hossain MA, Islam MS, Sultana MS, Khan MM. Effect of feeding frequency on the growth and production performance of monosex tilapia. *Journal of Agroforestry and Environment* 2009; 3(1):183-186.
2. AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists). Official methods of analysis of official Analytical Chemists. Hoewitz W Edn 13th, edition, Washington, D.C. 1980; 78.
3. Boyd CE. *Water Quality Management for Pond Fish Culture* Elsevier Amsterdam 1982; 318.
4. Brown ME. Experimental studies on growth. In: *The physiology of Fishes*. Brown ME (Ed.). Academic press. New York 1957; 1:361-400.
5. De Silva SS, Anderson TA. *Fish Nutrition in Aquaculture*, Chapman & Hall, London, 1995; 319.
6. De Silva SS, Davy FB. Strategies for finfish nutrition research for semi-intensive aquaculture in Asia. *Asian Fisheries Science* 1992; 5:129-144.
7. Guerrero RD. Use of androgen for production of all-male *Tilapia aurea* (Steindachner). *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 1975; 2:342-348.
8. Guerrero RD, Guerrero LA. Feasibility of commercial production of sex reversed Nile Tilapia fingerlings in the Philippines. In: *Proceedings of the second International Symposium on Tilapia in Aquaculture*. Pullin RSV, Bhukasawan T, Tonguthai K, Maclean JK (Eds.). Department of Fisheries Bangkok Thailand 1988; 183-186.
9. Halver JE. *Fish Nutrition* Academic Press Inc New York, 1972; 718.
10. Kasiri M, Farahi M, Sudagar M. Effects of feeding frequency on growth performance and survival rate of angel fish, *Petrophyllum scalare* (Perciformes: Cichlidae). *Veterinary Research Forum* 2011; 2:97-102.
11. Kayano Y, Yao S, Yamamoto S, Nakagawa H. Effects of feeding frequency on the growth and body constituents of young red-spotted grouper, *Epinephelus akaara*. *Aquaculture* 1993; 110:270-271.
12. Kiaalvandi S, Faramarzi M, Iranshahi F, Zabihi A, Roozbehfar R. Influence of feeding frequency on growth factors and body composition of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) fish. *Global Veterinaria* 2011; 6(6):514-518.
13. Lovell RT. *Nutrition and Feeding of Fish*. Edn 2, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, London, 1998; 267.
14. Luthada RW, Jerling HL. Effect of feeding frequency and feeding rate on growth of *Oreochromis mossambicus* (Teleostei: Cichlidae) fry. *African Journal of Aquatic Science* 2013; 38(3):273-278.
15. Ndome CB, Ekwu AO, Ateb AA. Effect of feeding frequency on feed consumption, growth and feed conversion of *Clarias gariepinus* x *Heterobranchius longifilis* hybrids. *American-Eurasian Journal of Scientific Research* 2011; 6(1):6-12.
16. Pouomogne V, Ombredane D. Effect of feeding frequency on the growth of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) in earthen ponds. *Tropicultura* 2001; 19(3):147-150.
17. Rahman MS. *Water quality management in aquaculture*. BRAC *prokashana*, 66, Mohakhali, Dhaka-1212, Bangladesh, 1992; 84.
18. Riche M, Oetker M, Haley DI, Smith T, Garling DL. Effect of feeding frequency on consumption, growth, and efficiency in juvenile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Israeli Journal of Aquaculture-Bamidgeh* 2004; 56(4):247-255.
19. Ridha MT. Comparative study of growth performance of three strains of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, L. at two stocking densities. *Aquaculture Research* 2006; 37: 172-179.
20. Santiago CB, Lovell RT. Amino acid requirements for growth of Nile tilapia. *Journal of Nutrition* 1988; 118:1540-1546.
21. Sena SD, Trevor AA. *Fish Nutrition in Aquaculture*. Edn 1, Chapman and Hall, London, 1995; 1-308.
22. Siraj SS, Kamaruddin Z, Satar MKA, Kamarudin MS. Effects of feeding frequency on growth, food conversion and survival of red tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*/*O. niloticus*) hybrid fry. In: *The Second International Symposium on Tilapia in Aquaculture*. Pullin RSV, Bhukasawan T, Tonguthai K, Maclean JL (Eds.). ICLARM Conference Proceedings 15, Department of Fisheries, Bangkok, Thailand and ICLARM, Manila, Philippines, 1988; 383-386.
23. Temitope J, Oluwaseun TO. Effects of feeding frequency on growth and nutrient utilization of *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus 1757) fingerlings. *Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Agriculture and Veterinary* 2013; 13(1):19-23.
24. Tung PH, Shiau SY. Effects of meal frequency on growth performance of hybrid tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* x *O. aureus*, fed at different carbohydrate diets. *Aquaculture* 1991; 92:343-350.
25. Turker A, Yildirim O. The effect of feeding frequency on growth performance and body composition in juvenile rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) reared in cold seawater. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 2011; 10(46):9479-9484.
26. Wang N, Hayward RS, Noltie DB. Effect of feeding frequency on food consumption, growth, size and feeding pattern of age-0 hybrid sunfish. *Aquaculture* 1998; 165: 261-267.
27. Zakes Z, Demska-Zakes K, Jarocki P, Stawecki K. The effect of feeding on oxygen consumption and ammonia excretion of juvenile tench *Tinca tinca* (L.) reared in a water recirculating system. *Aquaculture International* 2006; 14(1-2):127-140.
28. Zhou Z, Cui Y, Xie S, Zhu W, Lei W, Xue M *et al*. Effect of feeding frequency on growth, feed utilization, and size variation of juvenile gibel carp (*Carassius auratus gibelio*). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 2003; 19(4):244-249.