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Abstract
Knowledge of the fishing methods and the fish stock of some major water bodies in Katsina State is important for use in appraising the fisheries potential in the areas and similar ecosystem subject to similar ecological pressure. The study was carried in three water bodies: Ajiwa dam, Ajiwa; Jibiya dam, Jibiya Local government and Zobe dam, Dutsinma Local government. During the study, the fishermen’s catches and fishing activities were recorded. The fish species at the landing site were identified using keys by Reed et al., (1967) and some pamphlets (species summary). Fish species composition recorded in Ajiwa dam belongs to 5 families: Bagridae (17.8%), Claridae (19.4%), Cichlidae (28.9%), Momyridae (13.8%) and Schilbidae (20.0%). In addition to the 5 families of fish species in Ajiwa dam, the catches in Jibiya dam composed of Characidae (3.0%), Cyprinidae (1.0%) and Mochokidae (7.5%), while those of Zobe dam composed of Characidae (2.5%). In all, the water bodies, Cichlidae made up the highest percentage of the composition accounting for 28.9%, 34.4% and 38.2% in Ajiwa dam, Jibiya dam and Zobe dam respectively. The fishing activities in the water bodies revealed that the gears being used were predominantly gill nets, cast nets, traps and hook and line. For sustainable management, enforcement of restriction should be adopted.
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1. Introduction
An estimation of the species composition is important to the study of a stock’s dynamics and in the management of species. Densities indices of stocks are often used in stock assessment in multi-species assessment, ecosystem studies and in studies of economically and environmentally important fish species, it is often necessary to the absolute size of the stock. Thus, there is a strong need to develop methods of reasonable cost for estimation of absolute fish numbers (Auvnen and Juha, 1994) [1]. Fisheries stock assessment is primarily used for some decision making process, and as the process becomes more quantitative, the demand for on stock assessment is increasing (Hilborn et al., 1994.) [4]. Several methods to estimate current stock size and potential productivity of exploited population have been developed. The most commonly used model is that developed by Schaefer (1957) on catch biomass and indices of abundance. Since aquatic resources are fundamentally important for some community, careful study must be made of their biology and ecology (Gulland, 1983) [3]. The objectives of this study are to find out the fishing methods practiced in the water bodies, to find out the fish species diversity of the water bodies and to find out the management tools used by the government in managing the water bodies.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1 Study Areas
2.1.1 Katsina State
Katsina is 20 year old state. The state lies between latitude 11° 7’ and 13° 22 north and longitude 6° 52 and 9° 2 east. It has a total land area of about 23,930 km², with an estimated human population of 5.2 million of which majority live in the rural areas. The state extends to three major savannah vegetation zones: drier sahel zone in the north and sudan and guinea savannas in the middle and the southern zones respectively. The mean annual rainfall in the
zones are 300-400 m, 600-800 m and 900-1100 m respectively. Rain fall lasts from April to September depending on the zone.

2.1.2 Ajiwa District
Ajiwa is in the eastern part of Katsina State and lies between latitude 12.98° north and 12° 58’ east and longitude 7.75° north and 7.45.’

2.1.3 Jibiya Local government
Jibiya sits along with the Nigeria border with Niger Republic. It lies between latitude 13° 05’ north and 7° 13’ east and longitude 13.09° north and 7.23° east. It has a total land area of about 1,037 km² and estimated human population of 169,748.

2.1.4 Dutsinma Local government
Dutsinma lies between latitude 12° 27’ north and 7° 29’ east and longitude 12.46 north and 7.49° east. It has a total land area of about 527 km² and estimated human population of 169,671.

2.2 Data Collection and Analysis
The methodology used for this research work involved the collection of primary and secondary data. The primary data were collected through field survey, administering of 45 questionnaires-15 questionnaires to each of the three water bodies (Ajiwa dam, Jibiya dam and Zobe dam). In administering the questionnaires, the fishermen were randomly selected. The secondary data were collected using textbooks. The specimens (species) were identified using Fish and Fisheries of Northern Nigeria by Reed et al. (1967) and some pamphlets (species summary). The fish were counted and measured using measuring board graduated in centimeters. The data collected were analyzed in terms of mean, frequency and percentage (descriptive statistics).

3. Results and Discussion
3.1 Fishing Methods Practiced in the Water bodies
The periods during which the fishermen of the water bodies fished are shown in table 1. In Ajiwa dam and Jibiya dam, majority (75.3% and 66.7%, respectively) of the fishermen fished in the morning, while most (53.3%) of the fishermen in Zobe dam fished both in the morning and in the evening.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishing periods</th>
<th>Ajiwa dam</th>
<th>Jibiya dam</th>
<th>Zobe dam</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morning</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evening</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: field survey 2007

Fishing at these periods may be because of the fishermen’s experience that the fish at these periods tend to swim at a level within the range of their net settings. During these periods, photosynthetic activities are limited. So, the fishes swim to the surface where atmospheric oxygen seems to be abundant.

The gears that were predominantly used gears in all the water bodies were Gill nets, cast nets, hooks and line and traps. Hence, netting, lining and trapping were the mainly employed methods of fishing in the water bodies. The predominant use of these gears is because they are the cheapest and least sophisticated types of gears requiring little financial inputs in obtaining them and little effort in and skills in using them. Canoes (planked and half dug-out) were the main water fishing crafts used in the water bodies. The fishermen of the water bodies were found to be using nets with undersized mesh size. This may be due to the reluctance of the government to the enforcement of restrictions. Using nets with undersized mesh size leads to the exploitation of smaller fishes that will make up the stocks in future.

3.2 Composition of Catches in the Water bodies
The catch from the water bodies consisted of 19 species belonging to 8 families. Jibiya dam is more diverse in terms of species, followed by Zobe dam and then the Ajiwa dam with diversity indices of 1.0, 0.6 and 0.4 respectively as shown in tables 2, 3 and 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family/species</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Mean Length (TL; cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bagrus bayad</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claridae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarias gariepinus</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarias anguillaris</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cichlidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oreochromis niloticus</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saprotherodon galloaeus</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilapia zillii</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momyridae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcusenius brachyistius</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schilbidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schilbe mytus</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>325</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversity index</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: field survey 2007
Table 3: Composition of catch in Jibiya dam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family/Species</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Mean Length (Tl; Cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bagridae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagrus Bayad</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auchenoglanis Biscutatus</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Occidentalis</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alestes Baremoze</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alestes Nurse</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claridae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarias Gariepinus</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarias Anguillaris</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cichlidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oreochromis Niloticus</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarotherodon Galilaeus</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilapia Zillii</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemichromis Fasciatus</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprinidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labeo Senegalensis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mochokidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synodontis Clarias</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synodontis Occelifer</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momyridae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gnathonemus Cyprinus</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gnathonemus Senegalensis</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcusenius Brachyistus</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrocephalus Bovei</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schilbidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schilbe Mytus</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>561</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversity Index</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field survey 2007

Table 4: Composition of catch in Zobe dam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family/Species</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Mean Length (Tl; Cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bagridae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagrus Bayad</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alestes Baremoze</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alestes Nurse</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claridae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarias Gariepinus</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarias Anguillaris</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cichlidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oreochromis Niloticus</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarotherodon Galilaeus</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilapia Zillii</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemichromis Fasciatus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momyridae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcusenius Brachyistus</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrocephalus Bovei</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schilbidae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schilbe Mytus</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>283</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversity Index</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Field survey 2007

Diversity index = \( \frac{\text{Number of species in a water body}}{\text{Number of species in all the water bodies}} \)

In all the three water bodies, cichlids dominated the catch composition making up 28.9%, 34.4% and 38.2% in Ajiwa dam, Jibiya dam and Zobe dam respectively. The least composed species were Momyrds (13.8%), Cyprinids (1.0%) and Characids (2.5%) in Ajiwa dam, Jibiya dam and Zobi dam.
Zobe dam respectively. The dominance cichlids in the water bodies compares favorably with other Nigerian lakes/reservoirs such as Kainji, Tiga and Bakalori where cichlids are known to dominate (Ita and Balogun, 1982 and Balogun, 1986) [5, 2]. Seemingly, cichlids are the most targeted species because they are the most bought species by the fish mongers. The averagely biggest fishes caught in all the water bodies were bagrids measuring more than 20cm (Tables 2, 3 and 4). The catch of unrecommended size of some fish species indicates that growth over fishing is practiced in the water bodies.

3.3 Extinct species of the water bodies
According to the Ajijiwa dam fishermen, the extinct species of the water body included carp and Synodontis spp. In Jibiya dam, as responded by the fishermen, the extinct species were Malapterurus spp and Protopterus spp, while the extinct species of Zobe dam, according to the fishermen were Synodontis spp, Polypterus spp, Protopterus spp and Malapterurus spp. This may be because of rampant over-fishing and/or lack of fishing regulations.

3.4 Introduced species of the water bodies
In Ajiwa dam, according to the fishermen, the species that were brought into existence but are not available today included Bagrus spp, while in Zobe dam the species included Gymnarchus spp and Heterotis spp. No species was introduced in Jibiya dam. The introduction of species in the water bodies may be as a result of the migratory nature of fishes.

3.5 Activities of the government agencies managing the fisheries of the water bodies
According to the government agencies managing the water bodies, fishing regulations are not yet implemented, as the State Fisheries Edict is still under the proposal. Furthermore, the edict is with the Ministry of Justice for legal consideration. The agencies’ reluctance may be because their activities are basically on irrigation and water supply-their function on fisheries is ancillary.

4. Conclusion and Recommendations
It is observed that there is a predominant use of nets that have undersized mesh sizes. This leads to the exploitation of undersized fishes which are supposed to make up the future stock. The study reveals that the water bodies are in accordance with other Nigerian water bodies where cichlids dominate the catch composition. The agencies managing the water bodies should urgently establish Fisheries Edict which will enable proper management and conservation of the fisheries of the water bodies. Further studies should be encouraged as the results of this research may depend on the seasons.
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